

Extreme Preference and Distortion Risk Measures on Tail Regions with Nonparametric Inference Method

Xing Wang

Department of Mathematics
Illinois State University

Oct 5th

Outline

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 Extreme Preference
 - Tail Risks under the Extreme Scenarios
 - Extreme Preference and Its Distortion
- 3 Distortion Risk Measure on Tail Regions
 - Distortion Risk Measure Definition
 - Statistical Inference
- 4 Main Result about Distortion Risk Measure

- Learn risk exposure of a financial entity under the occurrence of some other financial variables' extreme scenarios.

Example:

- Measure the systemic risk of the expected loss on some financial equity return conditional on the occurrence of an extreme loss in the aggregated return of the financial sector;
- Measure the relative risk of individual financial entity to some benchmark as a sensitive monitoring index of the market co-movement.
- Traditional risk measures such as Value-at-Risk (VaR) and Expected Shortfall (ES) cannot capture the risk exposure caused by the co-movement of multiple financial variables.
- Use quantitative definition of the exposure on the tail regions to model the dependence of some financial variables

- No formal definition/principle about what is the standard of risk measure when considering the co-movement in financial/insurance industry \Rightarrow hard to extend
- How to consider the choice under the extreme risk which quantitatively evaluates the preference of tail risk ? \Rightarrow Use utility theory and theoretical aspects of distortion functions when facing high risk events
- Asymptotic theory of the risk measures on tail regions

Our Contribution

- Compare risks under some extreme scenarios with different risk levels.
- Consider the risk exposure on the tail regions where a benchmark loss is included in the modeling of extreme scenarios.
- Explore a limiting space of the above risks on tail regions as the extreme scenarios go to extremes.
- Explore distortion risk measure on tail regions and its asymptotic property. Establish asymptotic results using Extreme Value Theory and tail copulas.
- Nonparametric estimator has been proposed and its asymptotic normality is proved.

Preference Relationship Components

- X : the risk random variable
- \bar{F}_X : survival distribution
- Γ : a given collection of survival distribution \bar{F}_X
- \mathbb{V} : the set of all non-negative risk random variables, defined on space Γ . \mathbb{V} always represents the loss.
- (X, Y) : a pair of random losses with continuous joint distribution function $F(x, y)$ and marginal distributions $F_1(x) = F(x, \infty)$ and $F_2(y) = F(\infty, y)$.
- $Q_i = F_i^{-1}, i = 1, 2$: the inverse functions of marginal distributions.
- α : the level of the risk, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

The preference relationship

- The relations, indifference \sim and preference \succeq , for any two (survival) distributions \bar{F}, \bar{G} in Γ are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F} \sim \bar{G} & \text{ if and only if } \bar{F}(t) = \bar{G}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \\ \bar{F} \succeq \bar{G} & \text{ if and only if } \bar{F}(t) \geq \bar{G}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

- The preference \succeq on \mathbb{V} is based on distortion function g is

$$X_1 \succeq X_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\bar{F}_{X_1}(t)) dt \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\bar{F}_{X_2}(t)) dt,$$

The indifference \sim for X_1, X_2 in \mathbb{V} is

$$X_1 \sim X_2 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\bar{F}_{X_1}(t)) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\bar{F}_{X_2}(t)) dt.$$

Preference relations on the tail risks

- Suppose Y is a benchmark whose extreme scenarios are of interest.
- Consider the univariate case where extreme scenarios of Y are included as conditional events, and the associated preference relation is called **extreme preference** when the extreme scenarios go to extreme.
- Technique: **Extreme preference** depends on the transformation of three spaces in the following sections (from $\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}$, to Γ' , then to Γ_0).

Two extensions:

- First, define the scenarios of Y as an event $S(Y, \alpha)$ where α represents the level of the risk.
Typically, choose $S(Y, \alpha) = \{Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha)\}$ as the extreme scenarios.
- Second, truncate the non-tail risk of X below the threshold $Q_1(1 - \alpha)$ and consider the ratio of this truncated variable and the threshold.

Space Construction

- Extreme scenarios event $S(Y, \alpha) = \{Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha)\}$
- Truncate the non-tail risk of X below the threshold $Q_1(1 - \alpha)$ and consider the ratio of this truncated variable and the threshold.
- Construct space \mathbb{V} is the collection, or a subcollection, of X having distribution F with extreme index $\gamma > 0$, which satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(tx)}{\bar{F}(t)} = x^{-1/\gamma}, \quad x > 0. \quad (2)$$

- Γ : the collection of all survival distributions of X

- \mathbb{C} : a collection of (survival) copulas of (X, Y) , we may say “given benchmark Y ” and “given the survival copula of (X, Y) ” equivalently in the following as the scenarios of Y is $\{Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha)\}$.
- Consider the conditional survival distribution

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{(X - Q_1(1 - \alpha))_+}{Q_1(1 - \alpha)} > t \mid Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha) \right) \\ = & \begin{cases} \frac{C(\alpha s_\alpha((1+t)^{-1/\gamma}), \alpha)}{\alpha} & t \geq 0 \\ 1 & t < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ for any real value a, b and

$s_\alpha(x) = \frac{\bar{F}(Q_1(1 - \alpha)x^{-\gamma})}{\alpha}$ such that $s_\alpha(0) = 0$, $s_\alpha(1) = 1$ and $s_\alpha(\infty) = 1/\alpha$.

Define space Γ_α and its elements G_α (Fix α)

- Get G_α by a pair \bar{F} and C such that

$$G_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{C(\alpha s_\alpha(x^{-1/\gamma}), \alpha)}{\alpha} & x \geq 1 \\ 1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

- $G_\alpha \in \Gamma_\alpha$ is induced by a pair of \bar{F} and C .

- combine $\Gamma' = \cup_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{V}' = \cup_{\alpha} \mathbb{V}_{\alpha}$ and consider the preference relations on them.
- for any $G_{1\alpha_1}, G_{2\alpha_2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{1\alpha_1} \sim G_{2\alpha_2} & \text{ if and only if } G_{1\alpha_1}(t) = G_{2\alpha_2}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \\
 G_{1\alpha_1} \succ G_{2\alpha_2} & \text{ if and only if } G_{1\alpha_1}(t) \geq G_{2\alpha_2}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

Axiom 1 (Neutrality) Let Y be a given loss, X_1 and X_2 belong to \mathbb{V} with respective levels α_1, α_2 and functions $G_{1\alpha_1}$ and $G_{2\alpha_2}$ in Γ' . Then,

$$G_{1\alpha_1} \sim G_{2\alpha_2} \quad \implies \quad X_1|(Y, \alpha_1) \sim X_2|(Y, \alpha_2) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{V}'$$

where $X|(Y, \alpha)$ means the loss X given the dependence with Y and level α .

Axiom 2 (Complete weak order) \succeq on Γ' is reflexive, transitive, and connected.

Axiom 3 (Continuity) \succeq on Γ' is continuous with respect to L_1 -norm.

Axiom 4 (Monotonicity) If for any $t \geq 1$, $G_1(t) \geq G_2(t)$, then $G_1 \succeq G_2$ on Γ' .

Axiom 5 (Dual Independence) If G, G', H belong to Γ' and ω is any real value satisfying $\omega \in [0, 1]$, then $G \succeq G'$ implies $\omega G \oplus (1 - \omega)H \succeq \omega G' \oplus (1 - \omega)H$ where \oplus is the harmonic convex combination operator.

Theorem 1

Theorem

For any fixed level $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$, a preference relation \succeq satisfies Axioms A1-A5 if and only if there exists a distortion function g such that given the loss Y (given any survival copulas respectively), for any X_1, X_2 in \mathbb{V} with respective $G_{1\alpha_1}, G_{2\alpha_2}$ in Γ' ,

$X_1|(Y, \alpha_1) \succeq X_2|(Y, \alpha_2)$ on \mathbb{V}' if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty g(G_{1\alpha_1}(t))dt \geq \int_0^\infty g(G_{2\alpha_2}(t))dt.$$

Moreover, any variable X in \mathbb{V} is equivalent to its utility on \mathbb{V}' given Y, α and thus $G_\alpha \in \Gamma'$ so that

$$X|(Y, \alpha) \sim [U_\alpha(X; Y); 1]|(Y, \alpha) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{V}'. \quad (5)$$

where $U_\alpha(X; Y) := \int_0^\infty g(G_\alpha(t))dt$ and $[x; p]$ is a binary random variable taking x with probability p and 0 with probability $1 - p$.

Asymptotic limit of the extreme preference as $\alpha \downarrow 0$

Three questions:

- 1) Is there a collection of distributions which represent the limits of G_α in Γ' as $\alpha \downarrow 0$?
- 2) If there exists such a collection Γ_0 for which similar Axioms 1-5 hold as well?
- 3) If there exists such a collection Γ_0 satisfying some axioms similar to Axioms 1-5, what is the distortion function? Is it consistent with the g in Theorem 1?

Axiom 0' Suppose there exists a measure $R : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{C(\alpha s_\alpha(x), \alpha)}{\alpha} = R(x, 1), \quad x \geq 1.$$

Axiom 1' (Neutrality) Let Y be a given loss, X_1 and X_2 belong to \mathbb{V} with respective G_1 and G_2 in Γ_0 . Then,

$$G_1 \sim G_2 \quad \implies \quad X_1|(Y) \sim X_2|(Y) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{V}_0.$$

Axiom 2' (Complete weak order) \succeq on Γ is reflexive, transitive, and connected.

Axiom 3' (Continuity) \succeq on Γ_0 is continuous with respect to L_1 -norm.

Axiom 4' (Monotonicity) If for any $t \geq 1$, $G_1(t) \geq G_2(t)$, then $G_1 \succeq G_2$ on Γ_0 .

Axiom 5' (Dual Independence) If G, G', H belong to Γ_0 and ω is a real value satisfying $\omega \in [0, 1]$, then $G \succeq G'$ implies $\omega G \oplus (1 - \omega)H \succeq \omega G' \oplus (1 - \omega)H$ where \oplus is the harmonic convex combination operator.

Theorem 2

Theorem

A preference relation \succeq satisfies Axioms A0'-A5' if and only if there exists a distortion function g_0 such that given the loss Y (given any survival copulas respectively), for any X_1, X_2 in \mathbb{V} with respective G_1, G_2 in Γ_0 ,

$X_1|(Y) \succeq X_2|(Y)$ on \mathbb{V}_0 if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty g_0(G_1(t))dt \geq \int_0^\infty g_0(G_2(t))dt.$$

Moreover, any variable X in \mathbb{V} is equivalent to its utility on \mathbb{V}_0 given Y and thus $G \in \Gamma_0$ so that

$$X|(Y) \sim [U_0(X; Y); 1]|(Y) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{V}_0. \quad (6)$$

where $U_0(X; Y) := \int_0^\infty g_0(G(t))dt$ and $[x; p]$ is a binary random variable taking x with probability p and 0 with probability $1 - p$.

Definition

Let $\rho_g : \mathcal{L}(R_+) \rightarrow R_+$ be a distortion risk measure for a univariate non-negative random loss Z with distribution function $F_Z \in \mathcal{L}(R_+)$ and non-decreasing distortion function g satisfying $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$, the corresponding distortion risk measure is:

$$\rho_g(Z) = \int_0^\infty g(1 - F_Z(x)) dx.$$

Definition

The distortion risk measure on tail regions of (X, Y) is the distortion risk measure ρ_g applied to the distribution of $\frac{(X - Q_1(1 - \alpha))_+}{Q_1(1 - \alpha)} | Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha)$ taking into account the marginal threshold, which is

$$\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha) = Q_1(1 - \alpha) + \int_{Q_1(1 - \alpha)}^{\infty} g(\mathbb{P}(X > x | Y > Q_2(1 - \alpha))) dx$$

where g is a (right-continuous) distortion function.

Nonparametric Estimation

- $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$: independent and identically distributed random vectors with joint distribution F .
 $X_{1:n} \geq X_{2:n} \geq \dots \geq X_{n:n}, Y_{1:n} \geq Y_{2:n} \geq \dots \geq Y_{n:n}$.
 $X_{t:n} = X_{\lfloor t \rfloor:n}$ where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the flooring integer of any positive real values.
- $F_1(x) = F(x, \infty)$, $F_2(y) = F(\infty, y)$ and $Q_i = F_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, 2$, the generalized inverse function of F_i .
- $\bar{F}_{n1}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i > x)$, $\bar{F}_{n2}(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i > y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$: the empirical survival functions

The smoothed and non-smoothed empirical (survival) copulas are given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{C}(u, v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i) < u, \bar{F}_{n2}(Y_i) < v), \\ \tilde{C}(u, v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{1-\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i)/u}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{1-\bar{F}_{n2}(Y_i)/v}{h}\right), \quad u, v \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

where $h := h_n > 0$ is the bandwidth.

Two nonparametric estimators of $\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha)$ defined below

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_g(X; Y, \alpha) = \frac{1}{n\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_{n\alpha:n}) I(\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i) < \alpha) \left(g\left(\frac{\hat{C}(\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i), \alpha)}{\alpha}\right) \right. \\ \quad \left. + X_{n\alpha:n} \right) \\ \tilde{\rho}_g(X; Y, \alpha) = \frac{1}{n\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_{n\alpha:n}) I(\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i) < \alpha) \left(g\left(\frac{\tilde{C}(\bar{F}_{n1}(X_i), \alpha)}{\alpha}\right) \right. \\ \quad \left. + X_{n\alpha:n} \right) \end{cases}$$

Assumptions

- There exist some $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1)$, $\beta_1 \leq 0$ and function A which is slowly regular varying with index β_1 and a constant sign near infinity, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(1/\bar{F}_1(t))} \left(\frac{\bar{F}_1(tx)}{\bar{F}_1(t)} - x^{-1/\gamma_1} \right) = x^{-1/\gamma_1} \frac{x^{\beta_1/\gamma_1} - 1}{\gamma_1 \beta_1}, \quad x > 0.$$

- There exist functions $R : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $0 < \delta_0 < 1, L > 0, \tau < 0, \beta > \gamma_1, T > 1$ such that, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \infty), y \in (0, T]} \left(\frac{tC(t^{-1}x, t^{-1}y) - R(x, y)}{x^\beta} \right) = O(t^\tau).$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in (0, \delta_0], y \in (0, 1]} \frac{R(x, y)}{(x \wedge y)^\beta} \leq L.$$

- There exists $0 < \delta'_0 < 1, L' > 0$ such that

$$|g(u) - g(u')| \leq L'|u - u'|, \quad \forall u, u' \in (0, \delta'_0).$$

- As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha = O(n^{-1+\kappa})$ for some $0 < \kappa < \frac{-2\tau}{-2\tau+1}$ and $\sqrt{n\alpha}A(\alpha^{-1}) = o(1)$.

Theorem

Suppose the set $B = \{x \in (0, 1] \mid g \text{ is discontinuous at } R(x, 1)\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Under the Assumption (1.a)-(1.c), the distortion risk measure satisfies

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha)}{Q_1(1 - \alpha)} = 1 + \int_1^\infty g(R(x^{-1/\gamma_1}, 1)) dx. \quad (7)$$

Theorem

Suppose the set $B = \{x \in (0, 1] \mid g \text{ is discontinuous at } R(x, 1)\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Under the Assumption (1.a)-(1.d), the distortion risk measure satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n\alpha} \left| \frac{\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha)}{Q_1(1 - \alpha)} - \rho_0 \right| = 0. \quad (8)$$

Assumptions:

- 2.a The function R in Assumption (1.b) has a continuous first-order partial derivatives $R_1(x, 1) = \partial R(x, 1)/\partial x$.
- 2.b $R(1, 1) > 0$ and $R_1(1, 1) > 0$.
- 2.c The kernel distribution function K has symmetric density k with support $[-1, 1]$ and the kernel density k has bounded first derivative.
- 2.d The bandwidth $h := h_n > 0$ satisfies $n\alpha h^2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $n\alpha h^4 \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem

Let $\alpha = \alpha_n$ be an intermediate sequence such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $n\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose the set $B = \{x \in (0, 1] \mid g \text{ is discontinuous at } R(x, 1)\}$ has zero Lebesgue measure. Under the Assumptions ?? and (2.a), (2.b),

$$\sqrt{n\alpha} \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_g(X; Y, \alpha)}{\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha)} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma_{R,g,\gamma_1}^2).$$

with $\sigma_{R,g,\gamma_1}^2 = \text{Var}(\Phi_{R,g,\gamma_1}) / \rho_0^2$ and

$$\Phi_{R,g,\gamma_1} = \gamma_1 \int_0^1 \frac{\mathbb{W}_R(R^{\leftarrow}(x), 1)}{(R^{\leftarrow}(x))^{\gamma_1+1} R_1(R^{\leftarrow}(x), 1)} dg(x - \gamma_1 \frac{\mathbb{W}_R(R^{\leftarrow}(1), 1)}{(R^{\leftarrow}(1))^{\gamma_1+1} R_1(R^{\leftarrow}(1), 1)}).$$

Theorem continued

Theorem

is non-degenerate. R^{\leftarrow} is the (right-continuous) generalized inverse function of $R(\cdot, 1)$ and \mathbb{W}_R is a R -Brownian motion, i.e. a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function with

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{W}_R(u_1, v_1)\mathbb{W}_R(u_2, v_2)) = R(u_1 \wedge u_2, v_1 \wedge v_2),$$

$$(u_i, v_i) \in (0, \infty]^2 \setminus \{\infty, \infty\}, i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, if Assumption (2.c) and (2.d) are also satisfied, then

$$\sqrt{n\alpha} \left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}_g(X; Y, \alpha) - \hat{\rho}_g(X; Y, \alpha)}{\rho_g(X; Y, \alpha)} \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Q & A ?

Thank you very much for your attention!