# JOURNAL OF Evolutionary Biology

# Evolution of resistance to single and combined floral phytochemicals by a bumble bee parasite

E. C. PALMER-YOUNG\*, B. M. SADD† & L. S. ADLER\*

\*Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA †School of Biological Sciences, Illinois State University, Normal, IL, USA

# Keywords:

Bombus; cell culture; Crithidia bombi; dose–response curves; drug resistance; EC50; eugenol; experimental evolution; Markov chain Monte Carlo; thymol.

# Abstract

Repeated exposure to inhibitory compounds can drive the evolution of resistance, which weakens chemical defence against antagonists. Floral phytochemicals in nectar and pollen have antimicrobial properties that can ameliorate infection in pollinators, but evolved resistance among parasites could diminish the medicinal efficacy of phytochemicals. However, multicompound blends, which occur in nectar and pollen, present simultaneous chemical challenges that may slow resistance evolution. We assessed evolution of resistance by the common bumble bee gut parasite Crithidia bombi to two floral phytochemicals, singly and combined, over 6 weeks (~100 generations) of chronic exposure. Resistance of C. bombi increased under single and combined phytochemical exposure, without any associated costs of reduced growth under phytochemical-free conditions. After 6 weeks' exposure, phytochemical concentrations that initially inhibited growth by > 50%, and exceeded concentrations in floral nectar, had minimal effects on evolved parasite lines. Unexpectedly, the phytochemical combination did not impede resistance evolution compared to single compounds. These results demonstrate that repeated phytochemical exposure, which could occur in homogeneous floral landscapes or with therapeutic phytochemical treatment of managed hives, can cause rapid evolution of resistance in pollinator parasites. We discuss possible explanations for submaximal phytochemical resistance in natural populations. Evolved resistance could diminish the antiparasitic value of phytochemical ingestion, weakening an important natural defence against infection.

# Introduction

Effective medicinal compounds, whether natural or synthetic, are vulnerable to the evolution of resistance by the parasites that they target. The clinical significance of resistance to antibiotics is considered a major threat to human health (Bonhoeffer *et al.*, 1997). In agriculture, resistance to pesticides has created an ongoing need for new means of genetic and chemical control (Barrett & Antonovics, 1988; Bates *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, in natural systems, the evolution of phytochemical resistance by specialist antagonists necessitates the biosynthetic invention of new plant defences (Berenbaum & Feeny, 1981)

*Correspondence:* Evan C. Palmer-Young, University of Massachusetts Amherst, 221 Morrill Science Center III, 611 North Pleasant St, Amherst, MA 01003, USA. Tel.: +1 203 887 3524; fax: +413-545-3243; e-mail: ecp52@cornell.edu by diminishing the effectiveness of originally toxic compounds. For example, specialist herbivores such as *Manduca sexta*, which specialize on *Nicotiana* plants, have higher resistance to nicotine than do related Lepidopterans (Wink & Theile, 2002); and monarch butterflies are 300-fold more resistant than other Lepidopterans to the cardiac glycosides of their host milkweed plants (Vaughan & Jungreis, 1977). Repeated or chronic exposure to inhibitory phytochemicals exerts strong positive selection for resistance (Elfawal *et al.*, 2015), which can attenuate the effectiveness of the chemical and create the need for additional compounds or higher doses to achieve the same effect (Read *et al.*, 2011).

Plants produce an astounding diversity of phytochemicals that can counteract infection in the plants themselves and also in phytophagous animals that consume phytochemicals (Hartmann, 2007; de Roode *et al.*, 2013). Flowers contain distinct phytochemicals and

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL.

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY PUBLISHED BY JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD ON BEHALF OF EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE TERMS OF THE CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION LICENSE, WHICH PERMITS USE, DISTRIBUTION AND REPRODUCTION IN ANY MEDIUM, PROVIDED THE ORIGINAL WORK IS PROPERLY CITED.

blends that structure surface microbial communities (Junker et al., 2011) and can protect flowers from infection (Huang et al., 2012). Ingestion of antimicrobial phytochemicals may also ameliorate disease in phytophagous animals. Many animals prefer and seek out particular plants and phytochemicals when infected: ingestion of phytochemical-rich plants and their constituent compounds may reduce levels of infection (de Roode et al., 2013). Among insects, generalist arctiid caterpillars sought out alkaloid-containing host plants when parasitized; consuming these hosts increased the chances of surviving parasitism (Karban & English-Loeb, 1997; Singer et al., 2009). Cardenolide-rich latex from Asclepias improved survival and reduced spore counts of monarch butterfly larvae inoculated with protozoa (Gowler et al., 2015). Like foliage consumed by herbivores, nectar, pollen and other plant products used by pollinators are rich in antimicrobial phytochemicals (Dobson & Bergstrom, 2000; Heil, 2011). In honey bees, gathering of resins reduced chalkbrood infection (Simone-Finstrom & Spivak, 2012); consumption of plant-derived honeys (Gherman et al., 2014) and the floral phytochemical thymol reduced levels of the microsporidian parasite Nosema ceranae (Costa et al., 2010). Certain nectar phytochemicals also ameliorated Crithidia bombi infection in bumble bees (Manson et al., 2010; Baracchi et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2015). The strong effects of phytochemicals on plant and animal parasites may impose selective pressures that could drive the evolution of phytochemical resistance in frequently exposed parasite populations.

The evolution of parasite resistance to natural or artificial compounds could exacerbate the negative impacts of parasites and pathogens on pollinators. Resistance of Varroa mites, which parasitize honey bees, has decreased the effectiveness of conventional miticides in apiculture (Lodesani et al., 1995; Rosenkranz et al., 2010). Phytochemical miticides, such as thymol (Giacomelli et al., 2015) and eugenol (Maggi et al., 2010), have emerged as natural alternatives to acaricides (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). However, the recommended treatment regime, consisting of repeated and prolonged administration of phytochemicals (Imdorf et al., 1996), results in incomplete eradication of the mites (Gregorc & Planinc, 2005), thereby providing conditions under which phytochemical resistance could evolve. In addition, the persistence of prophylactic chemicals at weakly inhibitory concentrations in hive materials (Nozal et al., 2002; Floris et al., 2004) may continue to select for resistant genotypes, even after treatment is complete. Even in the absence of deliberate prophylactic treatment with phytochemicals, chronic exposure to the environmental phytochemicals could create sufficient selective pressure to favour phytochemically resistant parasites. This problem is especially relevant in agricultural landscapes with intentionally low floral diversity, where one or two species may cover the majority of land within a 2-km radius (Long & Krupke, 2016). Low floral diversity is likely to result in a correspondingly low phytochemical diversity in available nectar and pollen. Monotonous exposure of parasites to these chemicals could give rise to chemically resistant parasite populations, thereby reducing the medicinal value of the few compounds available in monocultures. For example, the bumble bee gut parasite, *C. bombi*, is over 100-fold more resistant to several phytochemicals than are phylogenetically related trypanosomes vectored by blood-feeding insects (Palmer-Young *et al.*, accepted). The high resistance of *C. bombi*, which has more direct exposure to floral phytochemicals than do related trypanosomes, suggests that phytochemical resistance can be increased by exposure to nectar and pollen phytochemicals over evolutionary time.

Whereas monotonous exposure to single chemicals creates strong selection for resistance, chemical combinations are thought to retard the evolution of resistance (Hastings, 2011), and associated costs may curtail the spread of resistance in populations. Pollinator parasites are likely to be frequently exposed to phytochemical combinations when their hosts consume nectar and pollen from multiple plant species or phytochemical blends produced by a single species. For example, nectar of the orchid Epipactis helleborine can contain as many as 100 compounds (Jakubska et al., 2005). In agriculture, models predicted that chemical combinations would be robust to resistance (Roush, 1998); empirically, broccoli plants with two Bacillus thuringiensis toxin genes were less prone than single-toxin plants to the evolution of herbivore resistance (Zhao et al., 2003). Clinically, combination therapy is the recommended treatment for a number of diseases, including protozoan infections such as visceral leishmaniasis (Leishmania donovani) and malaria (Plasmodium spp.) (van Griensven et al., 2010), and has been proposed as an 'optimal strategy' to combat resistance (Bonhoeffer et al., 1997). In Plasmodium falciparum, resistance to the antimalarial drug artemisinin developed rapidly, but phytochemically complex Artemisia annua retained its medicinal value (Elfawal et al., 2015). Even if resistance does develop, it may have associated costs in the absence of inhibitory chemicals. These costs may limit the spatial spread and temporal persistence of resistance in populations when chemically mediated selective pressure is sporadic (Vanaerschot et al., 2014), as would be likely in diverse floral landscapes.

To assess whether a pollinator parasite can evolve resistance to single or combined floral phytochemicals under chronic exposure, we tested the ability of the bumble bee parasite, *C. bombi*, to evolve resistance to the naturally occurring antitrypanosomal floral phytochemicals thymol, eugenol and a thymol-eugenol blend. We predicted that chronic exposure would (i) increase phytochemical resistance and (ii) decrease the growth-inhibiting effects of a given phytochemical concentration, but that (iii) resistance would be slower

or less likely to develop against the two-phytochemical blend. In addition, we expected that (iv) resistance would come at a cost of decreased maximum growth in the absence of phytochemicals.

### **Materials and methods**

#### Study system

Crithidia bombi is a trypanosome mid- and hindgut parasite of bumble bees (Bombus spp.) (Lipa & Triggiani, 1988; Sadd & Barribeau, 2013). Crithidia bombi is found on multiple continents (Schmid-Hempel & Tognazzo, 2010), including in many species threatened by parasite-related decline (Cameron et al., 2011; Schmid-Hempel et al., 2014). Crithidia bombi lives in the intestinal tract of nectar- and pollen-consuming bees, where it is directly exposed to the phytochemicals ingested by its hosts (Hurst et al., 2014). Although phytochemical concentrations in the gut lumen could be altered by microbial or host metabolism, orally transmitted parasites such as C. bombi are likely to have direct exposure to hostingested nectar and pollen phytochemicals in the crop, and possibly also in the mid- and hindgut. Parasites can also be exposed to phytochemicals at flowers themselves, which are sites of parasite transmission (Durrer & Schmid-Hempel, 1994; Graystock et al., 2015). Crithidia bombi has several context-dependent effects on host fitness (Sadd & Barribeau, 2013), and infection has been correlated with declining populations of native bees (Schmid-Hempel et al., 2014). However, ingestion of phytochemicals may ameliorate infection (Manson et al., 2010; Baracchi et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2015) and directly inhibit parasite growth (Palmer-Young et al., accepted; E.C. Palmer-Young, B.M. Sadd, R.E. Irwin, & L.S. Adler, In revision). The phytochemicals encountered by C. bombi are dependent on the spatially and temporally variable floral landscape utilized by bumble bees.

Thymol and eugenol are two widespread phytochemicals to which C. bombi can have prolonged exposure, either alone or in combination. Both of these phytochemicals have recognized antitrypanosomal effects (Santoro et al., 2007a, b), including against C. bombi (Palmer-Young et al., accepted; E.C. Palmer-Young, B.M. Sadd, R.E. Irwin, & L.S. Adler, In revision). Thymol occurs in a variety of floral honeys (Nozal et al., 2002; Viñas et al., 2006), but is most well documented in culinary herbs of the Lamiaceae, such as Origanum vulgare (oregano), Origanum majorana (marjoram), Origanum dictamus (dictamus) and Thymus vulgaris (common thyme) (Daferera et al., 2000), where thymol was recently quantified (5-8 ppm) in floral nectar (Palmer-Young et al., accepted). Eugenol, or its derivative methyl eugenol, has been found in over 450 species from over 80 plant families (Tan & Nishida, 2012), including in the flowers of over 100 species (Tan & Nishida, 2012), making it one of the most common floral phytochemicals. Eugenol has

been found in common crop species, such as *Cucurbita pepo* (Granero *et al.*, 2005) and *Ocimum selloi* (Martins *et al.*, 1997), ornamentals such as *Rosa rugosa* (Wu *et al.*, 1985; Dobson *et al.*, 1990), and wild *Epipactis* (Jakubska *et al.*, 2005) and *Gymnadenia* (Gupta *et al.*, 2014) orchids. Like thymol, eugenol is most extensively documented in plants of the Lamiaceae (38 species) (Tan & Nishida, 2012). In at least four Lamiaceae species, eugenol is found together with either thymol or thymol's isomer, carvacrol: *T. vulgaris* (Lee *et al.*, 2007), *O. vulgare* (Milos *et al.*, 2000) and *O. majorana* (Deans & Svoboda, 1990).

The flowering periods of thymol- and eugenol-rich plant species may expose pollinators and parasites to these phytochemicals for extended periods of time. The flowering period of thymol- and carvacrol-rich Thymus *pulegioides* generally lasts for 1–2 months in late spring and early summer (Senatore, 1996), coinciding with maximal plant monoterpenoid content (Kaloustian et al., 2005); the flowering period of T. vulgaris may last for several months at lower latitudes (McGimpsey et al., 1994; Khazaie et al., 2008). Similarly, the flowering period of O. basilicum lasted 3 months in Poland, with Bombus spp. comprising 32% of visitors to the nectarand pollen-rich flowers (Chwil, 2007). In our experiments, we exposed parasites to phytochemicals for 6 weeks, to reflect both (i) the duration of flowering in thymol- and eugenol-rich plants and (ii) the foraging lifetime of a Bombus worker, which typically specializes on a single floral species (Heinrich, 1976b).

#### Parasite collection and culturing

Crithidia bombi cells were isolated from wild bumble bees (Bombus impatiens) collected near Normal, IL, United States, in 2013 (strain 'IL13.2', collected by BMS). The culture was established by flow cytometry-based single cell sorting of bee faeces as described previously (Salathé et al., 2012). Cultures were microscopically screened to identify samples with strong Crithidia growth and absence of bacterial or fungal contaminants, then stored at -80 °C in a 2 : 1 ratio of cell culture: 50% glycerol until several weeks before the experiments began. Thereafter, cells were incubated in tissue culture flasks at 27 °C and propagated twice per week at a density of 100 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  in 5-mL fresh culture medium, the composition of which has been previously described (Salathé et al., 2012). The final transfer (to 500 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  in 5 mL fresh medium) occurred 48 h before the experiment began.

#### **Phytochemicals**

Thymol (Fisher Scientific, Franklin, MA, USA) and eugenol (Acros, Thermo Fisher, Franklin, MA, USA) stock solutions were prepared by pre-dissolving phytochemicals in ethanol (thymol and eugenol:

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002

10 \* 10<sup>3</sup> ppm for propagations, 40 \* 10<sup>3</sup> ppm for EC50 assays; blend: 10 \* 10<sup>3</sup> ppm thymol + 40 \* 10<sup>3</sup> ppm eugenol). Stock solutions were sterile-filtered, aliquoted to sterile 2-mL tubes and stored at -20 °C throughout each 6-week experiment.

# **Experimental design**

We conducted three 6-week exposure experiments, during which C. bombi was propagated continuously in either thymol (12 ppm), eugenol (50 ppm) or a 1:4 thymol:eugenol blend (5 ppm thymol + 20 ppm eugenol). Assuming a generation time of ~10 h (Salathé et al., 2012), the 6-week exposure period corresponds to approximately 100 generations. Exposure concentrations were chosen to inhibit growth by approximately 50%. Because phytochemical composition of thymoland eugenol-containing plants varies across species, cultivars and seasons (Kaloustian et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2005; Wogiatzi et al., 2011), no single phytochemical ratio can encompass the variable proportions at which these compounds occur in plants. The 1:4 thymol: eugenol ratio was chosen to reflect the ratio of EC50 values for these two compounds in previous experiments (Palmer-Young et al., accepted), such that each phytochemical would make approximately equal contribution to growth inhibition.

To initiate each of the three experiments, the ancestral C. bombi culture was divided into five phytochemicalexposed and five control cell lines at an initial density of 100 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  [adjusted using OD (optical density)] in 1 mL of the appropriate phytochemical-containing medium (exposed lines) or phytochemical-free medium (control lines). Sterile ethanol was added to control treatment medium to equalize ethanol concentrations in the two treatments (thymol experiment: 0.12% v/v, eugenol experiment: 0.5%, blend experiment: 0.05%). Cells were incubated at 27 °C in 12-well plates inside zippered plastic sandwich bags to reduce the chance of contamination. Cells were transferred twice per week (100 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  in 1-mL treatment medium) for 6 weeks after 3 days (odd transfers: 3 days, 10 days, ..., 39 days) or 4 days (even transfers: 7 days, 14 days, ..., 42 days) of growth. An additional two transfers (45 days and 49 days) were made in the blend experiment, for a total exposure time of 49 days. Cell density at time of transfer – a measure of the amount of growth during the preceding incubation period - was estimated by measuring OD (630 nm) of a 200-µL aliquot of each cell line. To obtain an accurate measure of cell density, the 12-well plates containing the cells to be transferred were resuspended (30 s, 600 rpm) on a microplate shaker. The plates were then moved into a laminar flow cabinet, and 200 µL from each well of cultured cells, and also cell-free control media containing the appropriate phytochemical concentration, was transferred to a 96-well plate for spectrophotometric OD (630 nm) measurement. The difference in OD between the cultured cells and the cell-free control media of corresponding phytochemical concentration was calculated for each sample. For analysis, OD readings were standardized relative to the mean OD of the control cell lines of the corresponding experiment and week.

The effects of the exposure treatment on phytochemical tolerance over time were assessed using three different response variables: (i) cell density at time of transfer, which tested the effects of a fixed phytochemical concentration to which the cells were chronically exposed, and (ii) EC50 (i.e. the phytochemical concentration that inhibited growth by 50%) from the weekly assays, which tested growth across a range of concentrations. In addition, to assess possible costs of resistance, we compared (iii) growth in phytochemical-free control medium, a measure of the cost of resistance in exposed lines. These values reflect growth in wells with 0 ppm phytochemical during the EC50 assays (described below).

Note that for response variables (ii) and (iii) above, exposed and control lines were tested under the same respective conditions following 48-h incubation in the absence of phytochemicals.

### EC50 assays

EC50 assays were conducted weekly on three of the five independently propagated cell lines from each treatment to determine the phytochemical concentration that inhibited growth by 50%. Each assay tested resistance to the same phytochemical or blend used in the exposure treatment, that is thymol EC50 for experiment testing effects of thymol exposure; eugenol EC50 for eugenol experiment; and 1:4 thymol: eugenol blend EC50 for the blend experiment. Six concentrations of the appropriate phytochemical (or blend), including a 0 ppm phytochemical control concentration, were prepared by two-fold serial dilution in sterile-filtered growth medium. The maximum concentrations used were 100 ppm w/v thymol (thymol experiment), 400 ppm eugenol (eugenol experiment) and 60 ppm thymol + 240 ppm eugenol (blend experiment). These concentrations resulted in nearly complete growth inhibition, which allowed accurate estimation of dose-response curves and EC50 values. Sterile ethanol was added to control treatment medium to equalize ethanol concentrations in all wells (thymol experiment: 0.25% v/v, eugenol experiment: 1%, blend experiment: 0.6%).

Two days before each week's EC50 assay began, an aliquot of cells from the lines propagated in 12-well plates was transferred to fresh medium (5 mL) at a density of 500 cells  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>. These cells were allowed to grow for 48 h in tissue culture flasks in the absence of phytochemicals. Immediately before the assay, each cell line was adjusted to a cell density of 1000 cells  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> in 8-mL fresh medium. During weeks 0 and 1 of the thymol experiment, cell density was adjusted based on hand counting of *C. bombi* cells at 400× in a Neubauer

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY PUBLISHED BY JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD ON BEHALF OF EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY.

hemocytometer. However, *C. bombi* swim quickly and were difficult to quantify. To more precisely equalize cell densities in subsequent assays, we adjusted cell density based on OD thereafter, using a predetermined linear correlation between cell counts and OD readings (cell density =  $1.03 \times 10^5 \times \text{OD}$ ,  $r^2 = 0.93$ ), where cell density is in cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  and OD is the difference in OD between the sample and an equivalent volume (200  $\mu$ L) of control medium.

A separate 96-well plate was prepared for each cell line. Each plate contained eight replicate wells at each of six phytochemical concentrations. To each well, 100 µL of 1000 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$  cell suspension was added to 100  $\mu L$ of phytochemical-enriched treatment medium using a multichannel pipette, resulting in a starting cell density of 500 cells  $\mu L^{-1}$ . The outer wells of the plate were used for cell-free controls (100 µL treatment medium + 100 µL control medium) to control for changes in OD unrelated to cell growth. Plates were sealed with laboratory film and incubated inside zippered plastic sandwich bags for 5 days at 27 °C. Growth was measured by OD readings (630 nm) at 24-h intervals. OD readings (630 nm) were taken immediately after resuspension of the cells on a microplate shaker (40 s, 1000 rpm, 3 mm orbit). We calculated net OD (i.e. the amount of OD resulting from parasite growth) by subtracting the average OD reading of cell-free control wells of the corresponding concentration and time point.

For the thymol and blend analyses, we excluded the outermost two replicates (plate columns 3 and 10) of each concentration. Growth in these replicates differed from growth of the interior samples in the same treatments; we attributed this growth variation to volatility of the thymol, which resulted in altered exposure to phytochemicals depending on the contents of the neighbouring control wells. In the eugenol experiment, we excluded the final week's EC50 assays (i.e. time = 6 weeks) from analysis due to aberrantly hot laboratory conditions (40–43 °C, due to a building heating abnormality); cells were exposed to 40 °C temperatures for several hours during the set-up of the assay and to 43 °C for an additional hour during the 24-h growth reading.

#### Statistical analyses

To quantify resistance to phytochemicals, EC50 values (i.e. the phytochemical concentrations that inhibited growth by 50% relative to phytochemical-free controls) were interpolated by constructing separate dose–response curves of phytochemical concentration vs. growth for each cell line (n = 3 lines per treatment) and time point (n = 6-7 weeks per experiment). All statistical analysis was conducted using the open source software R v3.2.1 (R Core Team, 2014) following methods used for antimicrobial peptides (Rahnamaeian *et al.*, 2015). Growth was quantified using the growth integral

(i.e. area under the curve of net OD vs. time) for each well; this integral was calculated by fitting a model-free spline to the observed OD measurements using grofit (Kahm *et al.*, 2010). The relationship between phytochemical concentration and growth integral was modelled with a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm using Just Another Gibbs Sampler (Plummer, 2003) in combination with the R package rjags (Plummer, 2016). We used the following model to describe the relationship between phytochemical concentration (*c*) and growth integral (*g*):

$$g = r - \frac{E_{\max} c^{h}}{\left( (C_{50})^{h} + c^{h} \right)}$$
(1)

where *r* denotes growth in the absence of the phytochemical,  $E_{\text{max}}$  represents the maximum inhibition at high concentrations, and  $C_{50}$  is the phytochemical concentration at which 50% of the maximum inhibition is reached. The parameter *h*, the Hill coefficient, indicates how steeply the inhibition increases around the concentration  $C_{50}$ . From this model, we derived parameter estimates and 95% highest posterior density credible intervals (CI) of the EC50. For the blend experiment, in which all treatments contained a 1 : 4 thymol : eugenol ratio, curves were fitted using eugenol concentration as *c*. Growth measurements from the 0 ppm concentration were used to assess costs of resistance in the absence of phytochemicals.

To assess whether cell lines evolved resistance due to chronic phytochemical exposure, the effects of the exposure treatment over time were assessed using linear mixed-effects regression models with the lmer function in R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015). Each response variable (EC50, cell density at time of transfer and growth without phytochemicals) was standardized relative to the mean of the control lines at the corresponding time point. Exposure treatment and the treatment by time interaction were used as predictor variables, and cell line was included as a random effect to account for repeated measures. Significance of terms in the model was assessed by chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) tests with the ANOVA function in the R package car (Fox & Weisberg, 2011). Fitted model means and standard errors were obtained using the lsmeans package (Lenth, 2016); graphs were produced with ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) and cowplot (Wilke, 2016).

#### Results

Chronic phytochemical exposure resulted in increased phytochemical resistance in all three experiments. Changes in cell density at time of transfer indicated remarkably increased resistance to phytochemicals. In each experiment, the highly significant Treatment: Week interaction (Table 1) indicated that the growthinhibiting effect of the fixed-concentration exposure

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY PUBLISHED BY JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD ON BEHALF OF EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY.

treatment decreased over the course of the exposure period. Initially, the phytochemical exposure treatments (12 ppm thymol, 50 ppm eugenol or 5 ppm thymol + 20 ppm eugenol) inhibited growth by over 50% (Fig. 1). However, by the end of the 6-week experiment, the same phytochemical concentration had minimal effect on parasite growth in the lines that were chronically exposed to the phytochemical treatment. In other words, after 6 weeks, the exposed lines grew nearly as fast in the presence of phytochemicals as the controls grew in the absence of phytochemicals.

Because the changes in cell density could have reflected both environmental acclimation and genetic changes, we also conducted weekly EC50 assays following a brief relaxation of selection (48-h growth in phytochemical-free media) to minimize contributions of the parental environment to the resistance phenotype. From the 2-week assay onward, EC50 values in the exposed lines were consistently higher than those of controls (Fig. 2). Thymol exposure increased resistance to thymol; eugenol exposure increased resistance to eugenol; and exposure to a 1 : 4 thymol-eugenol blend increased resistance to the same 1 : 4 blend. For each experiment, the Treatment: Week interaction term was highly significant (Table 1); this indicates that the EC50 ratio between exposed and control lines increased over

**Table 1** Effects of exposure treatments on *Crithidia bombi* cell density at time of transfer [estimated using OD (optical density) at 630 nm], EC50 and growth in the absence of phytochemicals. All responses were standardized relative the mean of the control lines of the corresponding experiment and time point. Predictor variables of linear mixed models were tested for statistical significance using  $\chi^2$  tests.

Exposure treatment	Predictor	$\chi^2$	d.f.	Ρ
Relative cell density a	t time of transfer			
Thymol	Treatment	80.29	1	< 0.001
	Treatment: Week	46.41	2	< 0.001
Eugenol	Treatment	111.27	1	< 0.001
	Treatment: Week	80.40	2	< 0.001
Blend	Treatment	116.48	1	< 0.001
	Treatment: Week	80.65	2	< 0.001
Relative EC50				
Thymol	Treatment	2.16	1	0.14
	Treatment: Week	19.16	2	< 0.001
Eugenol	Treatment	2.09	1	0.15
	Treatment: Week	9.96	2	0.01
Blend	Treatment	2.95	1	0.09
	Treatment: Week	7.45	2	0.02
Relative growth without phytochemicals				
Thymol	Treatment	14.95	1	< 0.001
	Treatment: Week	39.48	2	< 0.001
Eugenol	Treatment	1.5874	1	0.21
	Treatment: Week	35.2	2	< 0.001
Blend	Treatment	2.18	1	0.14
	Treatment: Week	5.53	2	0.06

Bold: P < 0.05.

the exposure period. Increases in EC50 relative to the control were similar across the three experiments ( $\sim$ 10%, Fig. 2).

We found little evidence for costs of adaptation in terms of reduced growth in the absence of inhibitory phytochemicals. In the thymol experiment, there was an initial negative effect of the exposure treatment on growth without phytochemicals, but also a significant amelioration of this negative effect over time (Treatment: Week interaction, Table 1; Fig. 3). However, this result was strongly driven by the poor growth in exposed lines at the 1-week time point. When the 1-week time point was removed from the model, the negative effect of treatment was no longer significant ( $\chi^2 = 2.23$ , d.f. = 1, P = 0.14). However, there remained a significant positive Treatment: Week interaction ( $\chi^2 = 8.58$ , d.f. = 2, P = 0.013), indicating progressively better growth relative to controls over time. Across all weeks, growth of thymol-exposed lines in the absence of phytochemicals averaged 98.6% that of controls, or 99.7% after excluding the 1-week time point. In the eugenol experiment, there was again no significant effect of treatment; across all weeks, growth in the absence of phytochemicals differed by only 0.4% between treatments. As in the thymol experiment, there was again a positive Treatment: Week interaction, which was statistically significant, but inconsistent across time (Fig. 3). In the blend experiment, exposed lines tended to have nonsignificantly higher growth without phytochemicals than the controls (P = 0.14, Table 1), and there was a marginally significant tendency of increased relative growth over time (P = 0.06, Table 1).

# Discussion

We tested the effects of chronic phytochemical exposure on the evolution of resistance by the bumble bee parasite *C. bombi* in cell culture. The parasite evolved comparable resistance to both single phytochemicals and a two-compound combination, and resistance had no growth-related costs under phytochemical-free conditions. Thus, chronic exposure to ecologically relevant levels of floral phytochemicals could lead to the evolution of parasite resistance that may weaken the medicinal effects of phytochemicals on pollinators.

# Chronic phytochemical exposure increased resistance

Initially, phytochemical exposure treatments inhibited *C. bombi* growth by > 50%; however, after 6 weeks of exposure, the same phytochemical concentrations resulted in minimal inhibition (Fig. 1). Our thymol exposure concentration (12 ppm) exceeded levels in *T. vulgaris* nectar [5.2–8.2 ppm thymol (Palmer-Young *et al.*, accepted)] and honey from thymol-fumigated honey hives [7.5 ppm (Charpentier *et al.*, 2014)].

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002



**Fig. 1** Chronic exposure of *Crithidia bombi* to phytochemicals decreased the growth-inhibiting effects of the exposure treatments. The *x*-axis shows the cumulative duration of exposure to phytochemical treatments. The *y*-axis shows cell density at time of transfer [estimated using OD (630 nm)] after incubation in thymol (12 ppm), eugenol (50 ppm) or a thymol-eugenol blend (5 ppm thymol + 20 ppm eugenol), standardized relative to the mean of the control lines at the corresponding time point. Points and error bars show mean  $\pm$  SE (*n* = 5 lines per treatment). Lines and shaded bands show predicted means  $\pm$  SE from linear mixed model fits. Open circles and solid lines: control treatment; filled circles and dashed lines: phytochemical exposure treatment.



**Fig. 2** Phytochemical exposure treatments increased phytochemical resistance in *Crithidia bombi*. The *x*-axis shows the cumulative duration of exposure to phytochemical treatments. The *y*-axis shows EC50 (phytochemical concentration resulting in 50% of maximal growth inhibition), standardized relative to the mean of the control lines at the corresponding time point. Points and error bars show mean  $\pm$  SE (*n* = 3 lines per treatment). Lines and shaded bands show predicted means  $\pm$  SE from linear mixed model fits. Open circles and solid lines: control treatment; filled circles and dashed lines: phytochemical exposure treatment.

Similarly, our eugenol exposure concentration (50 ppm) equalled concentrations in *Rosa x hybrida* stamens (Bergougnoux *et al.*, 2007), but far exceeded concentrations in other flowers and honey (Palmer-Young *et al.*, accepted). In other words, within a few weeks, parasites became almost completely resistant to the effects of naturally occurring levels of phytochemicals.

Exposure over the 6-week time frame used in our experiments is plausible in natural systems. For example, *O. basilicum*, which can contain both thymol and eugenol (Lee *et al.*, 2005; Politeo *et al.*, 2007), flowers for a 3-month period, even in northern Europe (Chwil, 2007), and its nectar and pollen are highly attractive to bumble bees. Individual *Bombus* workers, which live for

four to 6 weeks, tend to specialize on particular plant species (Heinrich, 1976b). Thus, in a worker that specializes on a plant rich in one or several phytochemicals, resident parasites would have ample time to evolve resistance within a single growing season.

We expect that our serial propagation experiments provide a conservative estimate of the ability of natural parasite populations to evolve phytochemical resistance. In contrast to the low initial diversity of our clonal parasite cell lines, *C. bombi* populations are genetically diverse (Tognazzo *et al.*, 2012), and phytochemical resistance can vary severalfold between genotypes (Palmer-Young *et al.*, accepted). High levels of pre-existing natural variation could result in even more dramatic

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002



**Fig. 3** Growth without phytochemicals (i.e. at phytochemical concentration of 0 ppm) during each week's EC50 assays. The *x*-axis shows the cumulative duration of exposure to phytochemical treatments. The *y*-axis depicts growth in the absence of phytochemicals, standardized relative to the mean of the control lines at the corresponding time point. Points and error bars show mean  $\pm$  SE [n = 6 (thymol and blend) or eight (eugenol) wells each of three lines per treatment]. Lines and shaded bands show predicted means  $\pm$  SE from linear mixed model fits. Open circles and solid lines: control treatment; filled circles and dashed lines: phytochemical exposure treatment.

responses to selection than what we observed using clonal cell lines. Conversely, however, exposure of parasites in nature to nutrient limitation or host immune responses could increase parasite generation times, thereby slowing evolutionary processes and reducing rates of phytochemical adaptation.

# Combined phytochemicals did not curtail the evolution of resistance

Contrary to our prediction, a two-phytochemical combination of thymol and eugenol did not inhibit the evolution of resistance. This is incongruent with empirical studies (Zhao et al., 2003; Elfawal et al., 2015), theoretical predictions (Roush, 1998) and clinical recommendations (van Griensven et al., 2010), all of which suggest that resistance should evolve more slowly to blends than to single compounds. Our result may relate to interactions between thymol and eugenol and to their modes of action. First, we have found synergistic effects of thymol and eugenol against C. bombi growth (E.C. Palmer-Young, B.M. Sadd, R.E. Irwin, & L.S. Adler, In revision), which may have promoted evolution of resistance by increasing the marginal benefits of resistance to either compound (Yeh et al., 2009). Second, the similar pro-oxidant modes of action of thymol and eugenol may have facilitated simultaneous development of resistance against both compounds. Both the monoterpenoid thymol and the phenylpropanoid eugenol are lipophilic compounds with aromatic rings and free hydroxyl groups. Such compounds penetrate membranes, disrupt ionic gradients and energy production and increase oxidative stress (Bakkali et al., 2008). Trvpanosomes can counteract oxidative stress by producing thiols (Mehlotra, 1996), heat shock proteins (McCall & Matlashewski, 2012) and glycerol (Husain et al., 2012). In L. donovani, these antioxidant systems can be quickly up-regulated by increasing expression of antioxidant enzymes and even duplication of antioxidant-encoding chromosomes (Mannaert et al., 2012), resulting in rapid development of resistance against pro-oxidant drugs (Vanaerschot et al., 2014). Crithidia bombi encounters pro-oxidant floral phytochemicals, osmotic stress and UV radiation during transmission at flowers (Cisarovsky & Schmid-Hempel, 2014), and had extremely high resistance to phenolics relative to clinically important trypanosomes (Palmer-Young et al., accepted). Therefore, C. bombi likely possesses extensive antioxidant mechanisms that could facilitate rapid adaptation to pro-oxidant phytochemicals. If particular genotypes have broad-spectrum resistance against multiple phytochemicals with similar modes of action, resistance to one phytochemical could confer resistance to other phytochemicals as well.

# No apparent growth-related cost of resistance in the absence of phytochemicals

The spread and maintenance of chemical resistance in parasite populations is shaped by a balance between the strength of selection favouring resistance and the costs of resistance that favour competing susceptible genotypes (Lenormand, 2002). In our experiments, we found no evidence for resistance-related costs in terms of growth under phytochemical-free conditions. Our previous work, which showed extremely high phytochemical resistance of *C. bombi* relative to related trypanosomes (Palmer-Young *et al.*, accepted), suggests that phytochemical-resistant strains of *C. bombi* have indeed been quite successful in nature. Although drug resistance appears to be costly in *Plasmodium* spp. and schistosomes (Vanaerschot *et al.*, 2014), no costs of

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002

paromycin resistance were found in L. donovani (Hendrickx et al., 2015); in L. infantum, miltefosine resistance was costly, but paromycin resistance resulted in increased growth and enhanced tolerance to stress (Hendrickx et al., 2016). Resistance to pro-oxidant antimonial drugs can actually improve L. donovani infectivity and establishment in hosts, presumably because the superior antioxidant defences of resistant lines allow them to tolerate host immune responses and the stress of initial establishment (Vanaerschot et al., 2011). The fitness advantages of chemical resistance in parasites may also be context-dependent. For example, drugresistant and drug-susceptible L. donovani competed equally well under optimal conditions, but drug-resistant lines outcompeted susceptible lines under stressful conditions, including heat shock, pH change, starvation and infection of host cells (García-Hernández et al., 2015). If phytochemical-resistant C. bombi, like resistant L. donovani, gain a competitive advantage under temperature- or food-stressed conditions, then chemically resistant parasites could be favoured in communities of stressed or resource-limited pollinators. Food-stressed bees are already immunocompromised (Brunner et al., 2014) and more vulnerable to C. bombi-induced mortality (Brown et al., 2003). Moreover, immunocompromised hosts could promote the spread of chemically resistant parasites by failing to eradicate residual parasites following chemical treatment (Bloland, 2001), thereby allowing chemically resistant parasites to survive and spread to new hosts. As a result, the spread of phytochemical-resistant C. bombi may be most favoured under conditions when host bees are most susceptible to infection.

# Ecological determinants of resistance to phytochemicals

Although C. bombi can evolve resistance to phytochemicals and blends without incurring apparent costs, several factors may constrain parasite adaptation to local phytochemicals in wild populations, thus maintaining submaximal phytochemical resistance that varies among strains (Palmer-Young et al., accepted). These factors could include complex and varied phytochemical environments, high rates of migration, periodic population bottlenecks and possible transmission-related costs of resistance. First, nectar and pollen contain a rich diversity of phytochemicals. For example, more than 60 compounds, including thymol and eugenol, were present in floral essential oils of Helichrysum arenarium (Lemberkovics et al., 2001), and over 100 compounds, including eugenol, were found in nectar of the orchid E. helleborine (Jakubska et al., 2005). As shown in experiments with A. annua and P. falciparum malaria (Elfawal et al., 2015), it may be difficult for parasites to adapt to these complex blends, particularly when bees consume a mixture of blends from different types of flowers. Second, migration of parasites between different types of landscapes could limit local adaptation. Bumble bees forage over many kilometres (Heinrich, 2004), and founding queens may disperse considerable distances to found new colonies, thereby homogenizing parasite populations from regions with different floral phytochemical characteristics. Furthermore, sexual reproduction in C. bombi could increase the frequency of recombination events (Schmid-Hempel et al., 2011) that break up resistance-conferring gene complexes. Third, genetic drift may limit the influence of natural selection on C. bombi populations by imposing annual genetic bottlenecks. Unlike honey bee colonies, bumble bee colonies in temperate climates have an annual cycle and are founded anew each year by queens that mate in autumn, hibernate through the winter, and emerge in spring. Because queens alone survive the winter, and only a small proportion of queens succeed in founding colonies, C. bombi populations can be severely reduced between fall and spring (Erler et al., 2012), with possible random loss of resistance alleles. There may also be subtle costs of resistance that were undetectable in cell cultures. For example, costs related to between-host transmission or within-host growth could reduce the fitness of phytochemically resistant strains in the wild. Any combination of these factors could explain the maintenance of susceptibility to thymol and eugenol in C. bombi populations.

Despite the possibility that migration and genetic drift could weaken the effects of natural selection for phytochemical resistance, C. bombi does appear to have evolved extensive resistance to the nectar phenolic compounds caffeic, chlorogenic and gallic acids (Palmer-Young et al., accepted). We hypothesize that parasites may be more likely to have chronic exposure to these compounds, which are prevalent at considerable concentrations in honey from the nectar of many floral species (Can et al., 2015). Although eugenol in particular is widespread in flowers, both thymol and eugenol are more volatile than the aforementioned phenolics, which may limit the duration of parasite exposure to these compounds. However, repeated prophylactic fumigation of hives with thymol - a common pest-control measure for honey bee hives (Gregorc & Planinc, 2005) - could result in intense and prolonged selection for resistant parasites.

The distribution of phytochemicals in modern landscapes may contribute to evolution of phytochemical resistance. Sequential exposure to single chemicals is known to promote resistance (Bonhoeffer *et al.*, 1997). Bees in agricultural settings may have sequential access to diets dominated by a single plant species during each period of the growing season (Goulson *et al.*, 2015), which could give parasites ample time to adapt to each plant's phytochemicals. If phytochemical resistance in *C. bombi* is minimally costly and stable in the absence of phytochemicals – as observed in *L. donovani* (dos

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY PUBLISHED BY JOHN WILEY & SONS LTD ON BEHALF OF EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY.

Santos *et al.*, 2008; Hendrickx *et al.*, 2012) – resistance could be maintained between annual periods of exposure to phytochemicals of particular floral species. Progressive augmentation of resistance to each agricultural species' phytochemicals would decrease the medicinal value of phytochemicals for pollinators.

In contrast to monotony, diversity among plants and hosts could curtail the evolution of phytochemical resistance. Serial infection of related hosts could select for parasites with specialized resistance to the phytochemicals in the host's preferred food plants. However, transmission of parasites among bumble bee host species with different diets (Goulson & Darvill, 2004) could result in continually varying selective pressures that interrupt the development of phytochemical resistance. Because different pollinators favour different floral species (Heinrich, 1976a; Goulson & Darvill, 2004), pollinator and plant diversity could be mutually stabilizing. Diverse flora may also disrupt the development of resistance by exposing parasites to hundreds of phytochemicals simultaneously (Jakubska et al., 2005), rather than the two phytochemicals used in our thymol/eugenol blend. In addition to possible mitigation of phytochemical resistance among parasites, phytochemically and taxonomically varied landscapes have other known benefits to pollinators. Although thymol and eugenol are relatively benign and even attractive to bees (Goyret & Farina, 2005; Ebert et al., 2007), consumption of other potentially antiparasitic phytochemicals can increase mortality in bumble bees and other insects (Thorburn et al., 2015; Tao et al., 2016). Given that bumble bees are generalist pollinators, we hypothesize that they may be less susceptible to toxicity when allowed to consume mixed diets that do not contain excessive amounts of any particular compound. Furthermore, varied landscapes are more likely to provide the variety of nutrients needed for colony growth and development, and also to offer a temporally distributed supply of nectar and pollen throughout the growing season (Roulston & Goodell, 2011). Overall, whereas limited floral diversity may decrease pollinator diversity and streamline the evolution of phytochemical resistance, abundant floral diversity could reduce parasite resistance to any particular suite of phytochemicals.

### Conclusion

Our experiments show that pollinator parasites can evolve resistance to growth-inhibiting floral phytochemicals without associated costs of reduced growth. In contrast to our predictions, resistance was not hindered by a two-phytochemical combination. Given the initially low diversity of our parasite cell lines, these findings represent a conservative estimate of the ability of wild parasite populations to adapt to phytochemicals, a process that could diminish the value of naturally occurring defences against parasites. Low floral and host diversity can be expected to promote phytochemical resistance. If resistance is not costly, or even confers a fitness advantage, resistance traits could spread quickly, exacerbating vulnerability to infection in already threatened pollinators.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank William Manning, Robert Wick and Jeffrey Blanchard for sharing laboratory space and equipment; Mostafa Elfawal for discussions; and Madeleine Boyle, Toby Shaya, Emily Mei and Anastasiya Mirzayeva for experimental assistance. This manuscript was improved in response to helpful comments from an anonymous reviewer, Dr. Sara Magalhães, and the editor Dr. Emmanuelle Porcher. This research was funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF: nsf.gov) (NSF DEB-1258096 to LSA, NSF GRFP DGE-0907995 to ECPY, and NSF DDIG NSFDEB-1501907 to ECPY and LSA); by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA: usda.gov) [Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) National Research Initiative (NRI) Arthropod and Nematode Biology and Management Program of the Grant USDA-AFRI 2013-02536 to LSA; and Agricultural and Food Research Initiative (AFRI) Food, Agriculture, Natural Resources and Human Sciences Education and Literacy Initiative (ELI) Predoctoral Fellowship Award Number: 2016-67011-24698 to ECPY]; and by the Garden Club of America (www.gcamerica.org) (Centennial Pollinator Fellowship to ECPY). The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

### References

- Bakkali, F., Averbeck, S., Averbeck, D. & Idaomar, M. 2008. Biological effects of essential oils – a review. *Food Chem. Toxi*col. 46: 446–475.
- Baracchi, D., Brown, M.J.F. & Chittka, L. 2015. Behavioral evidence for self-medication in bumblebees? *F1000Res* 4: 1–15.
- Barrett, J.A. & Antonovics, J. 1988. Frequency-dependent selection in plant-fungal interactions [and discussion]. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **319**: 473–483.
- Bates, S.L., Zhao, J.-Z., Roush, R.T. & Shelton, A.M. 2005. Insect resistance management in GM crops: past, present and future. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23: 57–62.
- Bates, D., Mäechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw* **67**: 1–48.
- Berenbaum, M. & Feeny, P. 1981. Toxicity of angular furanocoumarins to swallowtail butterflies: escalation in a coevolutionary arms race? *Science* **212**: 927.
- Bergougnoux, V., Caissard, J.-C., Jullien, F., Magnard, J.-L., Scalliet, G., Cock, J.M. *et al.* 2007. Both the adaxial and

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002

abaxial epidermal layers of the rose petal emit volatile scent compounds. *Planta* **226**: 853–866.

- Bloland, P.B. 2001. *Drug Resistance in Malaria*. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Bonhoeffer, S., Lipsitch, M. & Levin, B.R. 1997. Evaluating treatment protocols to prevent antibiotic resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94: 12106–12111.
- Brown, M.J.F., Schmid-Hempel, R. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 2003. Strong context-dependent virulence in a host–parasite system: reconciling genetic evidence with theory. J. Anim. Ecol. 72: 994–1002.
- Brunner, F.S., Schmid-Hempel, P. & Barribeau, S.M. 2014. Protein-poor diet reduces host-specific immune gene expression in *Bombus terrestris. Proc. Biol. Sci.* 281: 20140128.
- Cameron, S.A., Lozier, J.D., Strange, J.P., Koch, J.B., Cordes, N., Solter, L.F. *et al.* 2011. Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108**: 662–667.
- Can, Z., Yildiz, O., Sahin, H., Akyuz Turumtay, E., Silici, S. & Kolayli, S. 2015. An investigation of Turkish honeys: their physico-chemical properties, antioxidant capacities and phenolic profiles. *Food Chem.* 180: 133–141.
- Charpentier, G., Vidau, C., Ferdy, J.-B., Tabart, J. & Vetillard, A. 2014. Lethal and sub-lethal effects of thymol on honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) larvae reared *in vitro*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **70**: 140–147.
- Chwil, M. 2007. Flowering pattern, the structure of nectary surface and nectar secretion in two varieties of *Ocimum basilicum* L. *Acta Agrobot.* **60**: 55–65.
- Cisarovsky, G. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 2014. Combining laboratory and field approaches to investigate the importance of flower nectar in the horizontal transmission of a bumblebee parasite. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **152**: 209–215.
- Costa, C., Lodesani, M. & Maistrello, L. 2010. Effect of thymol and resveratrol administered with candy or syrup on the development of *Nosema ceranae* and on the longevity of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) in laboratory conditions. *Apidologie* **41**: 141–150.
- Daferera, D.J., Ziogas, B.N. & Polissiou, M.G. 2000. GC-MS analysis of essential oils from some Greek aromatic plants and their fungitoxicity on *Penicillium digitatum*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48: 2576–2581.
- Deans, S.G. & Svoboda, K.P. 1990. The antimicrobial properties of marjoram (*Origanum majorana* L.) volatile oil. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 5: 187–190.
- Dobson, H.E.M. & Bergstrom, G. 2000. The ecology and evolution of pollen odors. *Plant Syst. Evol.* **222**: 63–87.
- Dobson, H.E., Bergström, G. & Groth, I. 1990. Differences in fragrance chemistry between flower parts of *Rosa rugosa* Thunb. (Rosaceae). *Isr. J. Bot.* **39**: 143–156.
- Durrer, S. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 1994. Shared use of flowers leads to horizontal pathogen transmission. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 258: 299–302.
- Ebert, T.A., Kevan, P.G., Bishop, B.L., Kevan, S.D. & Downer, R.A. 2007. Oral toxicity of essential oils and organic acids fed to honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). J. Apic. Res. **46**: 220–224.
- Elfawal, M.A., Towler, M.J., Reich, N.G., Weathers, P.J. & Rich, S.M. 2015. Dried whole-plant *Artemisia annua* slows evolution of malaria drug resistance and overcomes resistance to artemisinin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**: 821–826.
- Erler, S., Popp, M., Wolf, S. & Lattorff, H.M.G. 2012. Sex, horizontal transmission, and multiple hosts prevent local adaptation of *Crithidia bombi*, a parasite of bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.). *Ecol. Evol.* 2: 930–940.
- Floris, I., Satta, A., Cabras, P. Garau, V.L. & Angioni, A. 2004. Comparison between two thymol formulations in the control

of *Varroa destructor*: effectiveness, persistence, and residues. *J. Econ. Entomol* **97**: 187–191.

- Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. 2011. An R Companion to Applied Regression, 2nd edn. Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- García-Hernández, R., Gómez-Pérez, V., Castanys, S. & Gamarro, F. 2015. Fitness of *Leishmania donovani* parasites resistant to drug combinations. *PLoS Negl. Trop Dis.* **9**: e0003704.
- Gherman, B.I., Denner, A., Bobiş, O., Dezmirean, D.S., Marghitas, L.A., Schluns, H. *et al.* 2014. Pathogen-associated self-medication behavior in the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **68**: 1777–1784.
- Giacomelli, A., Pietropaoli, M., Carvelli, A., Iacoponi, F., Carvelli, A., Pietropaoli, M. *et al.* 2015. Combination of thymol treatment (Apiguard<sup>®</sup>) and caging the queen technique to fight *Varroa destructor*. *Apidologie* **47**: 1–11.
- Goulson, D. & Darvill, B. 2004. Niche overlap and diet breadth in bumblebees; are rare species more specialized in their choice of flowers? *Apidologie* **35**: 55–63.
- Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C. & Rotheray, E.L. 2015. Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science* 347: 1255957.
- Gowler, C.D., Leon, K.E., Hunter, M.D. & de Roode, J.C. 2015. Secondary defense chemicals in milkweed reduce parasite infection in monarch butterflies, *Danaus plexippus. J. Chem. Ecol.* **41**: 520–523.
- Goyret, J. & Farina, W.M. 2005. Non-random nectar unloading interactions between foragers and their receivers in the honeybee hive. *Naturwissenschaften* **92**: 440–443.
- Granero, A.M., Gonzalez, F.J.E., Sanz, J.M.G. & Vidal, J.L.M. 2005. Analysis of biogenic volatile organic compounds in zucchini flowers: identification of scent sources. J. Chem. Ecol. 31: 2309–2322.
- Graystock, P., Goulson, D. & Hughes, W.O.H. 2015. Parasites in bloom: flowers aid dispersal and transmission of pollinator parasites within and between bee species. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 282: 20151371.
- Gregorc, A. & Planinc, I. 2005. The control of Varroa destructor in honey bee colonies using the thymol-based acaricide – Apiguard. Am. Bee J. 145: 672–675.
- van Griensven, J., Balasegaram, M., Meheus, F., Alvar, J., Lynen, L. & Boelaert, M. 2010. Combination therapy for visceral leishmaniasis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 10: 184–194.
- Gupta, A.K., Schauvinhold, I., Pichersky, E. & Schiestl, F.P. 2014. Eugenol synthase genes in floral scent variation in *Gymnadenia* species. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* **14**: 779–788.
- Hartmann, T. 2007. From waste products to ecochemicals: fifty years research of plant secondary metabolism. *Phytochemistry* 68: 2831–2846.
- Hastings, I. 2011. How artemisinin-containing combination therapies slow the spread of antimalarial drug resistance. *Trends Parasitol.* **27**: 67–72.
- Heil, M. 2011. Nectar: generation, regulation and ecological functions. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16: 191–200.
- Heinrich, B. 1976a. Resource partitioning among some eusocial insects: bumblebees. *Ecology* 57: 874–889.
- Heinrich, B. 1976b. The foraging specializations of individual bumblebees. *Ecol. Monogr.* 46: 105–128.
- Heinrich, B. 2004. *Bumblebee Economics: Revised Edition*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Hendrickx, S., Inocêncio da Luz, R.A., Bhandari, V., Kuypers, K., Shaw, C.D., Lonchamp, J. *et al.* 2012. Experimental

<sup>© 2016</sup> THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002

induction of paromomycin resistance in antimony-resistant strains of L. donovani: outcome dependent on *in vitro* selection protocol. *PLoS Negl. Trop Dis.* **6**: e1664.

- Hendrickx, S., Leemans, A., Mondelaers, A., Rijal, S., Khanal, B., Dujardin, J.-C. *et al.* 2015. Comparative fitness of a parent *Leishmania donovani* clinical isolate and its experimentally derived paromomycin-resistant strain. *PLoS One* **10**: e0140139.
- Hendrickx, S., Beyers, J., Mondelaers, A., Eberhardt, E., Lachaud, L., Delputte, P. *et al.* 2016. Evidence of a drug-specific impact of experimentally selected paromomycin and miltefosine resistance on parasite fitness in *Leishmania infantum. J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **71**: 1914–1921.
- Huang, M., Sanchez-Moreiras, A.M., Abel, C., Sohrabi, R., Lee, S., Gershenzon, J. *et al.* 2012. The major volatile organic compound emitted from *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowers, the sesquiterpene (E)-β-caryophyllene, is a defense against a bacterial pathogen. *New Phytol.* **193**: 997–1008.
- Hurst, V., Stevenson, P.C. & Wright, G.A. 2014. Toxins induce "malaise" behaviour in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). J. Comp. Physiol. A. 200: 881–890.
- Husain, A., Sato, D., Jeelani, G., Soga, T. & Nozaki, T. 2012. Dramatic increase in glycerol biosynthesis upon oxidative stress in the anaerobic protozoan parasite *Entamoeba histolytica. PLoS Negl. Trop Dis.* 6: e1831.
- Imdorf, A., Charriere, J.-D.A., Maqueln, C., Kilchenmann, V. & Bachofen, B. 1996. Alternative *Varroa* control. *Am. Bee J.* 136: 189–194.
- Jakubska, A., Przado, D., Steininger, M., Aniolł-Kwiatkowska, J. & Kadej, M. 2005. Why do pollinators become "sluggish"? Nectar chemical constituents from *Epipactis helleborine* (L.) crantz (Orchidaceae) *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **3**: 29–38.
- Junker, R.R., Loewel, C., Gross, R., Dötterl, S., Keller, A. & Blüthgen, N. 2011. Composition of epiphytic bacterial communities differs on petals and leaves. *Plant Biol.* 13: 918–924.
- Kahm, M., Hasenbrink, G., Lichtenberg-Fraté, H., Ludwig, J. & Kschischo, M. 2010. grofit: fitting biological growth curves with R. J. Stat. Softw. **33**: 1–21.
- Kaloustian, J., Abou, L., Mikail, C., Amiot, M.J. & Portugal, H. 2005. Southern French thyme oils: chromatographic study of chemotypes. J. Sci. Food Agric. 85: 2437–2444.
- Karban, R. & English-Loeb, G. 1997. Tachinid parasitoids affect host plant choice by caterpillars to increase caterpillar survival. *Ecology* 78: 603–611.
- Khazaie, H.R., Nadjafi, F. & Bannayan, M. 2008. Effect of irrigation frequency and planting density on herbage biomass and oil production of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) and hyssop (*Hyssopus officinalis*). *Ind. Crops Prod.* **27**: 315–321.
- Lee, S.-J., Umano, K., Shibamoto, T. & Lee, K.-G. 2005. Identification of volatile components in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and thyme leaves (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) and their antioxidant properties. *Food Chem.* **91**: 131–137.
- Lemberkovics, E., Czinner, E., Balázs, A., Bihátsi-Karsai, E., Vitányi, G., Lelik, L. *et al.* 2001. New data on composition of essential oil from inflorescence of everlasting (*Helichrysum arenarium* (L.) *Moench*). *Acta Pharm. Hung.* **71**: 187–191.
- Lenormand, T. 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**: 183–189.
- Lenth, R.V. 2016. Least-squares means: the R package lsmeans. *J. Stat. Softw.* **69**: 1–33.
- Lipa, J. & Triggiani, O. 1988. *Crithidia bombi* sp. n. a flagellated parasite of a bumble-bee *Bombus terrestris* L. (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Acta Protozool.* 27: 287–290.

- Lodesani, M., Colombo, M. & Spreafico, M. 1995. Ineffectiveness of Apistan treatment against the mite *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. in several districts of Lombardy (Italy). *Apidologie* **26**: 67–72.
- Long, E.Y. & Krupke, C.H. 2016. Non-cultivated plants present a season-long route of pesticide exposure for honey bees. *Nat. Commun.* 7: 11629.
- Maggi, M.D., Ruffnengo, S.R., Gende, L.B., Sarlo, E.G., Eguaras, M.J., Bailac, P.N. et al. 2010. Laboratory evaluations of Syzygium aromaticum (L.) Merr. et Perry essential oil against Varroa destructor. J. Essent. Oil Res. 22: 119–122.
- Mannaert, A., Downing, T., Imamura, H. & Dujardin, J.-C. 2012. Adaptive mechanisms in pathogens: universal aneuploidy in *Leishmania*. *Trends Parasitol.* 28: 370–376.
- Manson, J.S., Otterstatter, M.C. & Thomson, J.D. 2010. Consumption of a nectar alkaloid reduces pathogen load in bumble bees. *Oecologia* 162: 81–89.
- Martins, E.R., Casali, V.W.D., Barbosa, L.C.A. & Carazza, F. 1997. Essential oil in the taxonomy of *Ocimum selloi* benth. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 8: 29–32.
- McCall, L.-I. & Matlashewski, G. 2012. Involvement of the *Leishmania donovani* virulence factor A2 in protection against heat and oxidative stress. *Exp. Parasitol.* 132: 109–115.
- McGimpsey, J.A., Douglas, M.H., Van Klink, J.W., Beauregard, D.A. & Perry, N.B. 1994. Seasonal variation in essential oil yield and composition from naturalized *Thymus vulgaris* L. in New Zealand. *Flavour Fragr. J.* **9**: 347–352.
- Mehlotra, R.K. 1996. Antioxidant defense mechanisms in parasitic protozoa. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 22: 295–314.
- Milos, M., Mastelic, J. & Jerkovic, I. 2000. Chemical composition and antioxidant effect of glycosidically bound volatile compounds from oregano (*Origanum vulgare L. ssp. hirtum*). *Food Chem.* **71**: 79–83.
- Nozal, M.J., Bernal, J.L., Jiménez, J.J., González, M.J. & Higes, M. 2002. Extraction of thymol, eucalyptol, menthol, and camphor residues from honey and beeswax: determination by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* **954**: 207–215.
- Palmer-Young, E.C., Sadd, B.M. & Adler, L.S. 2016. Dataset: evolution of resistance to single and combined floral phytochemicals by a bumble bee parasite. *Zenodo*, doi:10.5281/zenodo.54705.
- Palmer-Young, E.C., Sadd, B.M., Stevenson, P.C., Irwin, R.E. & Adler, L.S. Bumble bee parasite strains vary in resistance to phytochemicals. *Sci. Rep.* (accepted).
- Plummer, M. 2003. JAGS: a program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical Computing* (*DSC 2003*). Vienna, Austria.
- Plummer, M. 2016. rjags: Bayesian graphical models using MCMC. CRAN Repos., https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rjags.
- Politeo, O., Jukic, M. & Milos, M. 2007. Chemical composition and antioxidant capacity of free volatile aglycones from basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) compared with its essential oil. *Food Chem.* **101**: 379–385.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rahnamaeian, M., Cytryńska, M., Zdybicka-Barabas, A., Dobslaff, K., Wiesner, J., Twyman, R.M. *et al.* 2015. Insect antimicrobial peptides show potentiating functional interactions against Gram-negative bacteria. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 282: 20150293.
- Read, A.F., Day, T. & Huijben, S. 2011. The evolution of drug resistance and the curious orthodoxy of aggressive chemotherapy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 10871–10877.

- Richardson, L.L., Adler, L.S., Leonard, A.S., Andicoechea, J., Regan, K.H., Anthony, W.E. *et al.* 2015. Secondary metabolites in floral nectar reduce parasite infections in bumblebees. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 282: 20142471.
- de Roode, J.C., Lefèvre, T., Hunter, M.D., Lefevre, T. & Hunter, M.D. 2013. Self-medication in animals. *Science* **340**: 150–151.
- Rosenkranz, P., Aumeier, P. & Ziegelmann, B. 2010. Biology and control of *Varroa destructor*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. **103**(Supplement): S96–S119.
- Roulston, T.H. & Goodell, K. 2011. The role of resources and risks in regulating wild bee populations. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **56**: 293–312.
- Roush, R.T. 1998. Two-toxin strategies for management of insecticidal transgenic crops: can pyramiding succeed where pesticide mixtures have not? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 353: 1777–1786.
- Sadd, B.M. & Barribeau, S.M. 2013. Heterogeneity in infection outcome: lessons from a bumblebee-trypanosome system. *Parasite Immunol.* **35**: 339–349.
- Salathé, R., Tognazzo, M., Schmid-Hempel, R. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 2012. Probing mixed-genotype infections I: extraction and cloning of infections from hosts of the trypanosomatid *Crithidia bombi. PLoS One* **7**: e49046.
- Santoro, G.F., das Cardoso, M.G., Guimarães, L.G.L., Salgado, A.P.S.P., Menna-Barreto, R.F.S. & Soares, M.J. 2007a. Effect of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) and thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) essential oils on *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Protozoa: Kinetoplastida) growth and ultrastructure. *Parasitol. Res.* 100: 783.
- Santoro, G.F., Cardoso, M.G., Guimarães, L.G.L., Mendonça, L.Z. & Soares, M.J. 2007b. *Trypanosoma cruzi*: activity of essential oils from *Achillea millefolium* L., *Syzygium aromaticum* L. and *Ocimum basilicum* L. on epimastigotes and trypomastigotes. *Exp. Parasitol.* **116**: 283–290.
- dos Santos, F.M., Caldas, S., de Assis Cau, S.B., Crepaide, G.P., de Lana, M., Machado-Coelho, G.L.L. *et al.* 2008. *Trypanosoma cruzi*: induction of benznidazole resistance *in vivo* and its modulation by *in vitro* culturing and mice infection. *Exp. Parasitol.* **120**: 385–390.
- Schmid-Hempel, R. & Tognazzo, M. 2010. Molecular divergence defines two distinct lineages of *Crithidia bombi* (Trypanosomatidae), parasites of bumblebees. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 57: 337–345.
- Schmid-Hempel, R., Salathé, R., Tognazzo, M. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 2011. Genetic exchange and emergence of novel strains in directly transmitted trypanosomatids. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 11: 564–571.
- Schmid-Hempel, R., Eckhardt, M., Goulson, D., Heinzmann, D., Lange, C., Plischuk, S. *et al.* 2014. The invasion of southern South America by imported bumblebees and associated parasites. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 83: 823–837.
- Senatore, F. 1996. Influence of harvesting time on yield and composition of the essential oil of a thyme (*Thymus pulegioides* L.) growing wild in Campania (Southern Italy). J. Agric. Food Chem. 44: 1327–1332.
- Simone-Finstrom, M.D. & Spivak, M. 2012. Increased resin collection after parasite challenge: a case of self-medication in honey bees? *PLoS One* 7: e34601.
- Singer, M., Mace, K. & Bernays, E. 2009. Self-medication as adaptive plasticity: increased ingestion of plant toxins by parasitized caterpillars. *PLoS One* 4: e4796.

- Tan, K.H. & Nishida, R. 2012. Methyl eugenol: its occurrence, distribution, and role in nature, especially in relation to insect behavior and pollination. J. Insect Sci. 12: 1–74.
- Tao, L., Hoang, K.M., Hunter, M.D. & de Roode, J.C. 2016. Fitness costs of animal medication: antiparasitic plant chemicals reduce fitness of monarch butterfly hosts. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 85: 1246–1254.
- Thorburn, L.P., Adler, L.S., Irwin, R.E. & Palmer-Young, E.C. 2015. Variable effects of nicotine, anabasine, and their interactions on parasitized bumble bees. *F1000Res* 4: 880.
- Tognazzo, M., Schmid-Hempel, R. & Schmid-Hempel, P. 2012. Probing mixed-genotype infections II: high multiplicity in natural infections of the trypanosomatid, *Crithidia bombi*, in its host, *Bombus* spp. *PLoS One* **7**: e49137.
- Vanaerschot, M., Doncker, S.D., Rijal, S., Maes, L., Dujardin, J.-C. & Decuypere, S. 2011. Antimonial resistance in *Leishmania donovani* is associated with increased *in vivo* parasite burden. *PLoS One* 6: e23120.
- Vanaerschot, M., Huijben, S., den Broeck, F.V. & Dujardin, J.-C. 2014. Drug resistance in vectorborne parasites: multiple actors and scenarios for an evolutionary arms race. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 38: 41–55.
- Vaughan, G.L. & Jungreis, A.M. 1977. Insensitivity of lepidopteran tissues to ouabain: physiological mechanisms for protection from cardiac glycosides. *J. Insect Physiol.* 23: 585–589.
- Viñas, P., Soler-Romera, M.J. & Hernández-Córdoba, M. 2006. Liquid chromatographic determination of phenol, thymol and carvacrol in honey using fluorimetric detection. *Talanta* 69: 1063–1067.
- Wickham, H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer, New York, NY.
- Wilke, C.O. 2016. cowplot: streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for "ggplot2". CRAN Repos., https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cowplot.
- Wink, M. & Theile, V. 2002. Alkaloid tolerance in *Manduca* sexta and phylogenetically related sphingids (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae). *Chemoecology* 12: 29–46.
- Wogiatzi, E., Papachatzis, A., Kalorizou, H., Chouliara, A. & Chouliaras, N. 2011. Evaluation of essential oil yield and chemical components of selected basil cultivars. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.* 25: 2525–2527.
- Wu, C., Wang, Y., Zhao, D., Sun, S., Ma, Y. & Chen, J. 1985. The main chemical components of the essential oil from *Rosa rugosa* Thunb. *Acta Bot. Sin.* 27: 510–515.
- Yeh, P.J., Hegreness, M.J., Aiden, A.P. & Kishony, R. 2009. Drug interactions and the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7: 460–466.
- Zhao, J.-Z., Cao, J., Li, Y., Collins, H.L., Roush, R.T., Earle, E.D. et al. 2003. Transgenic plants expressing two Bacillus thuringiensis toxins delay insect resistance evolution. Nat. Biotechnol. 21: 1493–1497.

Data deposited in the Zenodo repository: URL: https:// zenodo.org/record/54705 with restricted access for reviewers (Palmer-Young *et al.*, 2016); at acceptance, data will be made freely available.

Received 10 July 2016; revised 20 October 2016; accepted 21 October 2016

© 2016 THE AUTHORS. J. EVOL. BIOL. doi: 10.1111/jeb.13002