

THE MICHIGAN QUARTERLY REVIEW is published quarterly (January, April, July, and October) by The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Subscription prices, \$13.00 a year, \$24.00 for two years; Institutional subscriptions obtained through agencies \$15.00 a year; \$3.50 a copy; back issues, \$2.00. Claims for missing numbers can be honored only within two months after publication.

Available on microfilm from Xerox University Microfilms, 300 N. Zeeb Rd., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, where full-sized copies of single articles may also be ordered. Reprinted volumes and back-volumes available from AMS Press, Inc., 56 E. 13th St., New York, 10003. Indexed or abstracted in Abstr.E.S., Am.Bib.Cent., Ann.Bib., Bk.R.Hum., Bk.R.Inc., P.A.I.S., P.M.L.A., Index of American Periodical Verse, Index to Periodical Fiction, American Humanities Index.

Editorial and business office, 3032 Rackham Bldg., The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109. Unsolicited manuscripts are returned to authors only when accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelopes or by international postal orders. No responsibility assumed for loss or injury.

Second class postage paid at Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Copyright © The University of Michigan, 1981  
All Rights Reserved  
ISSN 0026-2420

Editor:  
LAURENCE GOLDSTEIN

Associate Editor:  
E. H. CREETH

Managing Editor:  
MARY KATHARINE PARKS

Promotion Manager:  
MICHAEL LONG

---

Assistant Editors:  
LYN COFFIN  
W. J. HOLINGER  
JOHN KUCICH  
STEVEN DAVID LAVINE  
HARRY THOMAS  
ALAN WALD

---

Contributing Editors:  
RICHARD HOWARD  
ARTHUR MILLER  
JOYCE CAROL OATES

KRZYSZTOF OSTASZEWSKI

### THREE PROSE POEMS

#### OPUS 12, A COMEDY

The cage consisted of two sections connected by a passageway. A metal grating placed at the bottom of one of the sections was connected to an electrical circuit. And in this part of the cage there was a rat.

A current was passed through the grating. The rat jumped up and down, wriggled its paws and squealed. It squealed very strangely. But the experiment didn't produce the desired effect: for it was expected that the rat would develop a conditioned reflex and cross to the other part of the cage at the sight of the small light which was turned on at the same time as the current in the grating. The rat squealed but did not cross over. It was carried across. Of course it returned to its section and began to squeal. The rat was thus entirely unsuited to the experiment. It could in no way be evaluated positively.

Today, fortunately, such rats no longer exist in the world of science.

Lódź, October 10, 1978

#### OPUS 30

They say the lion is a wild and cruel animal. They also say that the lion is lazy, it lies about for whole days and does nothing.

By a strange coincidence a certain man became the owner of a lion. A lion in a cage. For quite a long time he could find it no activity. Then finally he managed to get some piecework for it—knitting.

The lazy lion did not want to knit. The man bought a whip and a riding crop. With the help of these instruments he encouraged the do-nothing to work. But the widely-held opinion of a lion's

laziness was confirmed. Under the influence of the man's determined actions, the animal did start to work, but it did little and ruined a significant amount of expensive wool in the process.

The widely-held opinion of the lion's cruel character was also confirmed. One day it broke out of its cage and ate its master.

### OPUS 75

A certain great scientist once developed a machine for killing people with blue eyes. It was a truly amazing apparatus, functioning in accordance with all known laws of nature. In it the scientist made use of every modern achievement in science and technology. The foolproof system for seeking out and annihilating blue-eyed people was fascinating. The harmony of the mechanism seemed to be the fulfillment of *man's dreams*.

At a scientific conference, a certain man asked the scientist just what purpose his fabulous machine served, and whether it shouldn't be remembered that it was possible to have blue eyes and still be a good man (maybe even a scientist).

Of course it turned out that the questioner was a chance guest at the conference, and a dilettante at that. So he couldn't really be expected to make pronouncements on such matters as the remarkably functioning mechanism.

His question was simply inconsistent with the laws of science, and poorly formulated at that.

Fortunately for him, his eyes were brown.

Translated by Wojtek Stelmaszynski