

3 **ON DECOMPOSING REGULAR GRAPHS INTO ISOMORPHIC**
4 **DOUBLE-STARS**

5 SAAD I. EL-ZANATI, MARIE ERMETE, JAMES HASTY,
6 MICHAEL J. PLANTHOLT, AND SHAILESH TIPNIS

7 *Department of Mathematics*
8 *Illinois State University*
9 *Normal, Illinois 61790–4520, U.S.A.*

10 **e-mail:** saad@ilstu.edu
ermet1mn@gmail.com
HastyJ@bismarck.k12.il.us
mikep@ilstu.edu
tipnis@ilstu.edu

11 **Abstract**

12 A *double-star* is a tree with exactly two vertices of degree greater than 1. If T is a double-star
13 where the two vertices of degree greater than one have degrees $k_1 + 1$ and $k_2 + 1$, then T is
14 denoted by S_{k_1, k_2} . In this note, we show that every double-star with n edges decomposes every
15 $2n$ -regular graph. We also show that the double-star $S_{k, k-1}$ decomposes every $2k$ -regular graph
16 that contains a perfect matching.

17 **Keywords:** Graph decomposition, double-stars.

18 **2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C51, 05C05.

19 1. INTRODUCTION

20 By a *decomposition* of a graph G we mean a sequence H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k of subgraphs whose edge
21 sets partition the edge set of G . If each subgraph H_i is isomorphic to a fixed graph H , then the
22 decomposition is an H -*decomposition* of G and we say H *decomposes* G . A large amount of research
23 has been done on the topic of graph decompositions over the last five decades (see [1] and [2] for
24 recent surveys). Much investigation has been motivated by the following conjecture of Ringel [10].

25 **Conjecture 1.** *Every tree T with n edges decomposes the complete graph K_{2n+1} .*

26 A broadening of Ringel's conjecture is due to Graham and Häggkvist (see [5]).

27 **Conjecture 2.** *Every tree T with n edges decomposes every $2n$ -regular graph G .*

28 Despite persistent attacks over the last 40 years, Ringel's conjecture and variations thereof,
29 such as the Graceful Tree Conjecture (see [4]), still stand today. Much less work has been done on
30 the Graham and Häggkvist conjecture however.

31 Results confirming Conjecture 2, in certain cases, can be found in H. Snevily's Ph.D. thesis
32 [11]. For example, Snevily shows that every a tree T with n edges decomposes every $2n$ -regular

33 graph G provided that the girth of G is larger than the diameter of T . He also shows that every tree
 34 with n edges decomposes the cartesian product of any n cycles. Other results on decompositions of
 35 the cartesian product of graphs into trees can be found in a recent paper by Jao, Kostochka, and
 36 West [8].

37 The graph $K_{1,k}$ is known as a k -star and is often denoted by S_k . A *double-star* is a tree
 38 with exactly two vertices of degree greater than 1. The two vertices of degree greater than 1 are
 39 called the *centers* of the double-star and the edge joining them is called the *central-edge*. If T is
 40 a double-star where the two centers have degrees $k_1 + 1$ and $k_2 + 1$, then T is denoted by S_{k_1,k_2} .
 41 Note that S_{k_1,k_2} has $k_1 + k_2 + 1$ edges and is isomorphic to S_{k_2,k_1} . The double-star $S_{k,k}$ is called
 42 *symmetric*.

43 Conjecture 2 is simple to verify when T is a star. We will verify it when T is a double-star. We
 44 will also show that $S_{k,k-1}$ decomposes every $2k$ -regular graph that contains a perfect matching.

45 2. MAIN RESULTS

46 We give some additional definitions before proceeding with our main results. An *orientation* of
 47 a graph G is an assignment of directions to the edges of G . An *Eulerian orientation* of G is an
 48 orientation where the indegree at each vertex is equal to the outdegree. It is simple to see that a
 49 graph with all even degrees has an Eulerian orientation.

50 **Theorem 3.** *Every double-star with n edges decomposes every $2n$ -regular graph.*

51 **Proof.** Let H be the double-star S_{k_1,k_2} with center vertices a and b , where the degree of a is $k_1 + 1$
 52 and the degree of b is $k_2 + 1$. Let G be a $2n$ -regular graph where $n = k_1 + k_2 + 1$. We will show
 53 that H decomposes G .

54 Orient the edges of H so that each leaf has indegree 1. Orient edge $\{a, b\}$ from a to b . Let F be
 55 a 2-factor in G . Then F has an Eulerian orientation. Since $G - E(F)$ is $(2n - 2)$ -regular, it has an
 56 Eulerian orientation. Consider any cycle C in F , and let D_C denote the digraph in G consisting of
 57 all arcs with tail in $V(C)$. Thus every vertex in D_C will have outdegree (in D_C) either $k_1 + k_2 + 1$
 58 or 0. Because $\{E(D_C) : C \text{ a cycle in } F\}$ partitions $E(G)$, the proof will be complete if we can show
 59 that each such subgraph D_C has an H -decomposition.

60 Let cycle C have length p and consist of alternating vertices and arcs labeled $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots,$
 61 $v_{p-1}, e_p, v_p = v_0$.

62 For the first copy H_1 of H in the decomposition, we use e_1 as the central arc, and identify v_0
 63 with a and v_1 with b . Choose k_2 arcs with tail at v_1 ; label as X the set of endvertices of these k_2
 64 arcs. The remaining k_1 arcs with tail at v_0 in H_1 in this construction will be determined at the
 65 end.

66 We construct the remaining copies H_2, H_3, \dots, H_p sequentially. After H_{i-1} is determined we
 67 construct H_i as follows:

68 The central arc of H_i is e_i , with v_{i-1} identified with a from H , and v_i identified with b . The
 69 remaining arcs with tail at v_{i-1} are all such arcs of $D_C - C$ that were not chosen to be in H_{i-1} .
 70 From the remaining $k_1 + k_2$ arcs with tail at v_i , we choose k_2 arcs so that:

- 71 i) No arc is chosen that is adjacent with an arc chosen at this step to have tail v_{i-1} (avoid an
 72 immediate triangle), and
- 73 ii) We include in the pool all arcs with head a vertex in X .

74 The selection process above can always be implemented because in H_{i-1} we chose all possible
 75 arcs with tail at v_{i-1} and head at a vertex in X , so no such arc appears in H_i .

76 It remains only to complete the construction of H_1 . After H_p has been constructed, k_1 arcs
 77 with tail at v_0 have yet to be assigned; we include these arcs in H_1 . Because of the pattern noted
 78 above, none of these arcs has as a head a vertex in X . Thus H_1 also has no triangles and is therefore
 79 isomorphic to H . ■

80 In [5], Häggkvist states that he has proven (but has not published) a result showing that every
 81 tree with n edges and diameter d decomposes every $2n$ -regular graph of girth at least d . Since the
 82 girth of a graph with no multiple edges is at least 3, Häggkvist's unpublished result would cover
 83 the result in Theorem 3.

84 We turn our focus to decompositions of n -regular graphs into trees with n edges. If G is n -
 85 regular and H is a tree with n edges, then H may or may not decompose G . In fact, if n is even
 86 and G has odd order, then $|E(G)|$ would not be divisible by n and thus H could not decompose G .
 87 It is also easy to see that S_n decomposes an n -regular graph G if and only if G is bipartite. Graham
 88 and Häggkvist do in fact conjecture that every tree T with n edges decomposes every n -regular
 89 bipartite graph G (see [5]). This conjecture was verified by Jacobson, Truszczyński, and Tuza [6]
 90 for T a double-star and for P_5 .

91 In [9], Kotzig conjectured that the symmetric double-star $S_{k,k}$ decomposes a $(2k+1)$ -regular
 92 graph G if and only if G contains a perfect matching. Kotzig's conjecture was proved by Jaeger,
 93 Payan, and Kouider in [7].

94 **Theorem 4.** *For $k \geq 1$, let G be a $(2k+1)$ -regular graph. Then $S_{k,k}$ decomposes G if and only if*
 95 *G contains a perfect matching.*

96 It is simple to see why G must contain a perfect matching if $S_{k,k}$ decomposes it. If G has
 97 order $2m$, then the number of $S_{k,k}$'s in the decomposition is m . Since no two central edges in the
 98 decomposition can be adjacent, the central edges must form a perfect matching.

99 Let G be a graph that contains a perfect matching M . A *tent* in G is a pair $\{\{v, x\}, \{v, y\}\}$
 100 of adjacent edges such that $\{x, y\}$ is an edge of M . The common vertex v is called the *top* of the
 101 tent. Jaeger et al. [7] showed that if G is $(2k+1)$ -regular, then $G - M$ has an Eulerian orientation
 102 so that every tent is a directed path.

103 We use a slight variation of the approach of Jaeger et al. to show that if G is a $2k$ -regular
 104 simple graph of even order and with a perfect matching, then $S_{k,k-1}$ decomposes G .

105 **Lemma 5.** *If G is an Eulerian graph that contains a perfect matching M , then G has an Eulerian*
 106 *orientation such that every tent is oriented into a directed path.*

107 **Proof.** We obtain the desired Eulerian orientation as follows. Begin a walk at any vertex w , and
 108 start with any edge incident with w . At each step where there is a choice of edges to continue the
 109 walk, if we are at vertex v which is incident with tent edges $\{\{v, x\}, \{v, y\}\}$, we choose one of these
 110 edges if and only if the other edge was the most recent edge in the walk. This process can only
 111 end at start vertex w . Orient the edges of the walk according to the direction in which they were
 112 traversed. Remove those edges from G , and iterate if any edges remain in G . It is easy to see this
 113 process gives the desired orientation. ■

114 **Theorem 6.** *For $k \geq 2$, let G be a $2k$ -regular graph that contains a perfect matching M . Then*
 115 *$S_{k,k-1}$ decomposes G .*

116 **Proof.** By Lemma 5, G has an Eulerian orientation such that every tent is a directed path. For
 117 $x \in V(G)$, let $I_x = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ be the k arcs with terminal vertex x in the orientation of G and
 118 let $V_x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ be the set of initial vertices of these arcs.

If $e = \{x, y\} \in M$, where e is oriented from x to y , then $x \in V_y$, $e \in I_y$, and $V_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$ because each tent is oriented into a directed path. It follows that the graph

$$L_e = (V_x \cup V_y \cup \{y\}, I_x \cup I_y)$$

119 is isomorphic to $S_{k,k-1}$. Moreover, since each edge of G has exactly one terminal vertex, which is
 120 on exactly one edge of M , $\{L_e : e \in M\}$ forms an $S_{k,k-1}$ -decomposition of G . This completes the
 121 proof. ■




Figure 1.: A 4-regular graph without a perfect matching that is $S_{2,1}$ -decomposable.

122 If a $2k$ -regular graph does not contain a perfect matching, then it may or may not be $S_{k,k-1}$ -
 123 decomposable. In Figure 1, we show a 4-regular graph that does not contain a perfect matching but
 124 is $S_{2,1}$ -decomposable. Figure 2 shows a 4-regular graph G that does not contain a perfect matching
 125 and is not $S_{2,1}$ -decomposable. This graph consists of four vertex-disjoint copies of $K_5 - e$ with each
 126 of the degree 3 vertices in these copies joined to one of two additional vertices. Let J denote one
 127 of the four copies of $K_5 - e$ in G . Since J contains 9 edges, three edges from the complement of J
 128 are needed to get all the edges of J in an $S_{2,1}$ -decomposition of G . Since a tree containing edges
 129 from more than one $K_5 - e$ in G must have diameter at least 4 and there are only 8 edges in G
 130 that are not in a $K_5 - e$, there is no $S_{2,1}$ -decomposition of G .

131 For a graph G , let 2G denote the multigraph obtained from G by replacing every edge in G
 132 with two parallel edges. In [3], we show that every double-star with n edges decomposes 2G for
 133 every n -regular graph G . We also investigate decompositions of $2n$ -regular multigraphs with edge
 134 multiplicity at most 2 into double-stars with n edges.

135

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

136 This research is partially supported by grant number A1063038 from the Division of Mathematical
 137 Sciences at the National Science Foundation. Part of this work was done while the second and
 138 third authors were participants in *REU Site: Mathematics Research Experience for Pre-service
 139 and for In-service Teachers* at Illinois State University. The affiliations of the second and third
 140 authors at the time were as follows: M. Ermete: Central Michigan University (Mount Pleasant,
 141 MI), J. Hasty: Bismarck-Henning High School (Bismarck, IL). Finally, we would like to thank an
 142 anonymous reviewer for suggesting the decomposition in Figure 1.




Figure 2.: A 4-regular graph without a perfect matching that is not $S_{2,1}$ -decomposable

REFERENCES

143

- 144 [1] P. Adams, D. Bryant, and M. Buchanan, *A survey on the existence of G -designs*, J. Combin.
 145 Des. **16** (2008) 373–410.
- 146 [2] D. Bryant and S. El-Zanati, “Graph decompositions,” in *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*,
 147 C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (Editors), 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007,
 148 pp. 477–485.
- 149 [3] S. I. El-Zanati, M. J. Plantholt, and S. Tipnis, *On decomposing regular multigraphs into iso-*
 150 *morphic trees*, Discrete Mathematics, to appear.
- 151 [4] J. A. Gallian, *A dynamic survey of graph labeling*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2013) #DS6.
- 152 [5] R. Häggkvist, *Decompositions of complete bipartite graphs*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes
 153 Ser. C. U. P., Cambridge **141** (1989) 115–147.
- 154 [6] M. S. Jacobson, M. Truszczyński, and Z. Tuza, *Decompositions of regular bipartite graphs*,
 155 Discrete Math. **89** (1991) 17–27.
- 156 [7] F. Jaeger, C. Payan and M. Kouider, *Partition of odd regular graphs into bistars*, Discrete
 157 Math. **46** (1983) 93–94.
- 158 [8] K. F. Jao, A. V. Kostochka, and D. B. West, *Decomposition of cartesian products of regular*
 159 *graphs into isomorphic trees*, preprint.
- 160 [9] A. Kotzig, Problem 1, in: Problem session, Proceedings of the tenth southeastern conference
 161 on combinatorics, graph theory and computing, *Congr. Numer.* **XXIV** (1979), 913–915.
- 162 [10] G. Ringel, Problem 25, in *Theory of Graphs and its Applications, Proc. Symposium Smolenice*
 163 *1963*, Prague (1964), 162.
- 164 [11] H. Snevily, *Combinatorics of finite sets*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, 1991.

